

Satan's Greatest Plot

January 2021

Rough Draft

Table of Contents

1. Preface
2. Introduction
3. Satan's Objectives Overall
4. Satan's Strategy
5. Satan's Tactics
6. The Voice
7. The Pillars of the Satanic Plot
8. Male Versus Female
9. History
10. Outcomes
11. What We Can Do

Preface

Many people don't believe in Satan. As a “fallen angel”, he sounds like a myth, a legend, a lie. Even if he does exist, he seems relatively powerless, for how could a good God ever allow such an evil creature to destroy humanity. Surely, God does not allow Satan to directly kill humans, but He does allow Satan to influence them. Whether or not we believe in Satan, we fail to comprehend the amazing power of the one thing Satan is considered capable of doing: telling a believable lie.

If we look back and examine our own lives and experiences, we can recall moments where we spoke to people and yet found ourselves completely misunderstood. Many things may be to blame, but how is it that two people speaking the same language could have such vastly different interpretations of the same sentence. Furthermore, if we are so keen in recognizing that such misinterpretations do occur, why are we so quick to assume we understand each other, so quick to assume fault, and so quick to escalate our disagreements into all out fights and even wars? Why is it so hard to get along?

In this modern time, we have the benefit of looking back over history. If we examine it closely, we can see a malicious web weaved throughout the tapestries of societies and cultures that is coming to fruition in its creation of discontent, discord, and strife. It has all the makings of a coordinated attack designed to make humanity wicked and self-destruct.

Many lies have permeated culture, and societies around the world have found themselves in a battle to sort out the truth. Relativism has complicated the issue and hidden the absolute truth we seek. And all the while, perhaps, just perhaps, the vast majority of people find themselves convinced of the one lie whose unraveling would bring sense to the mayhem: the lie of Satan that he doesn't exist.

Suppose, then, that for the sake of analysis, we accept that he does exist. What then? What is unveiled is one of the most complex war strategies of all time. It is this strategy whose surface we attempt to scratch.

Introduction

The world is in a constant state of war between good and evil, order and chaos, God and Satan. By virtue of His power, God has the upper hand and can never be defeated. Nevertheless, this does not stop Satan from trying. Satan's very existence is devoted to trying to attack God. Since he cannot attack or destroy God directly, Satan resorts to attacking God indirectly by destroying God's children. Even in this, God must give him permission. Why does God allow evil? The short answer is that a greater good may come out. On a global scale, the domination of evil breeds more resolute Christians and thus a stronger Church worldwide. The long answer requires a deeper theological approach and is beyond the interest of this analysis.

Our analysis is of the attack strategy of Satan on God's children in modern times. It is Satan's greatest attack to date, construction over the centuries by key historic events and blossoming in the 20th century. We will delve into the main points of the overall strategy, the necessary requirements for each attack vector to be effective, the historic implementation of the attack strategy, and some of the non-spiritual antidotes necessary to defeat it. It should be evident to the audience that the spiritual antidotes – namely prayer and fasting – are assumed to be necessary by default.

Our analysis seeks to reveal just how deep and intricate the attack strategy of Satan truly is. The attack strategy is so deep and intricate that our analysis cannot address all of the details. Instead, we limit ourselves to the primary attack vectors and some of the precursors that lay the basis for the attack vectors to work.

At the foundation of every attack vector is the implied basis of deception. Satan convinces people on both an individual and collective level that certain ideas are in their best interest. Every convincing evil is a combination of good with a little evil, which thereby allows humans to believe they are acquiring a good while at the same time allowing Satan to incrementally gain ground. Satan uses natural human interests and personal preferences to sway their overall opinions and public opinion at large. By swaying opinion on a small scale towards perceived good containing evil, Satan amplifies the evil on a large scale and converts mass, popular opinion into an attack vector.

As we progress through our analysis, it will be critical for you to keep in mind the attack vectors and their aims so that you can understand how they play out in history. History can seem overwhelmingly complicated, but this is only if one tries to examine the picture too closely. Modern history contains general trends that contain a very distinct signature of Satan's influence and puppeteering. This signature has become much more evident in the 21st century due to the repetition of political cycles. We as citizens of the West (America, Canada, Western Europe) are living in a time when certain political ideologies are beginning to repeat themselves on a broad scale and with the same or greater intensity as in history.

The ideologies being repeated are the perfect example of Satan's using personal preference shared by mass crowds to amplify evil. These ideologies take on two flavors. On a national scale, communism and national socialism (Nazism). On a social scale, they are “feminism” and “masculinism”.

The fundamental ideology being amplified is Satanism, but Satanism exists at the extreme poles rather than on one side or another. To understand why requires an understanding of order itself, the very thing which God establishes and Satan opposes. Without getting too philosophical, it is sufficient to say that goodness and order are coexistent, that order exists where there is balance, and that order brings peace. Peace is the goal of all human society. In relation to ideologies, balance exists when the family is unified and male and female interests are both treated impartially. Therefore, to disrupt order, it is necessary for Satan to pit men against women and vice versa until both are extremists in favor of their own interests. Our analysis will show just how Satan has done that to accomplish his goals.

Our Outlook

Keep in mind that your own outlook on history is tainted by our modern perceptions of what is good and evil. Such perceptions have themselves been taught to you and consequently are subject to years of misinformation and tainting by evil. Consequently, the ideas, historical notes, and recommendations presented hereafter may seem quite radical to the modern audience yet are in full accordance with truth to the best of my knowledge.

Satan's Objectives

Satan has very simple overall goals and a very complex way of achieving them. *All of the means by which Satan attacks and deceives humanity are interconnected and very deep*, beyond the scope of our analysis. Nevertheless, it is sufficient for our understanding to take an efficient approach of simply looking at the overall objectives, listing a few precursor objectives that would enable and complete the objective, and then analyzing each of those sub-objectives and repeating the process until either we reach a point where it should be evident that human interest and deception on an individual level would provide the basis or things become too complicated to continue analysis.

Overall

Satan has very simple overall objectives:

- O) Destroy order with chaos.
- I) Move people away from God.
- II) Move people to evil.

All of the other objectives and goals of Satan find their roots in these three.

O) Destroy Order with chaos.

This is point zero because it is the basis for everything Satan does. It is the main goal. This is because order and God are inseparable. God is the establisher of order, and order brings peace. God establishes order through the balance of opposites. For example, the charge of an electron is perfectly balanced with the opposite charge of a proton. Thus, balance brings peace, and peace is the reward of God to His children, human beings.

By attacking order, Satan attacks the very foundation of all of God's creation and destroys peace. The end goal is to remove all peace from God's children, human beings, by leading them to hell, which is the place of no peace.

I) Move People away from God

God wants all people to come to a knowledge of Him and be united with him. God desires to have everyone saved. Therefore, it is Satan's intention to do that exact opposite by moving people away.

However, God set up three main things to guide people to truth. Therefore, Satan must counter these things by doing the following:

- 1) Move people away from the three transcendentals, which speak about God's nature.
- 2) Destroy the family and its image, which models the intention God has for us.
- 3) Destroy the Church, which is the preserver and presenter of the above two.

All three tasks are interconnected just as their targets are. If Satan fails at any, order will be restored.

1) Move People away from the Three Transcendentals

There are three transcendentals that God set up that lead people to God, and likewise, there are three ways Satan counters them. These transcendentals and Satan's attack on each is listed below:

A) Truth – Make it offensive. Truth can be harsh, so in defense of our own peace of mind, we are easily persuaded to shield ourselves from truth and accept lies.

B) Goodness – Make it relative (moral relativism). Goodness as a concept is rather abstract, and epistemology has revealed that more abstract ideas are hard to precisely define let alone prove. Thus we can be easily persuaded to abandon efforts to define it and simply accept that everyone has a different opinion and is therefore correct in their own way.

C) Beauty – Make it ugly. Beauty is the most innate thing we recognize, yet it is the most difficult to define because it is subjected to our own perspective and what we recognize. Nevertheless, it elevates our minds and draws us to God. Therefore, Satan must pollute beauty or expel it from our minds altogether.

2) Destroy the Family and Its Image

God is building a family, and thus He designed human families to model His own intention. Therefore, the human family is a guide to lead people to God. Satan therefore needs to destroy it.

The details of Satan's plan to destroy the family will be explored in our upcoming analysis. It is very complicated, as all families are. The foundation of the family is a cooperation between husband and wife, therefore Satan takes the attack approach of pitting the interests of men versus the interests of woman, as we shall see.

3) Destroy the Church

The Church is the preserver, presenter, and promoter of the Christian faith. As an institution, it is a bulwark that speaks of the sturdiness and reliability of God's ways. Its ancient roots and constance throughout history attest to this.

Therefore, for Satan's plans to succeed, he must discredit and destroy the institutional voice of God calling people back to the right way. In the past, he has taken the approach of dividing the church. His first attempt – the Great Schism – mostly failed as it resulted in two nearly identical churches preaching mostly the same message. However, his second attempt – the Protestant Reformation – proved to be highly successful, resulting in thousands of micro-churches whose theological foundations were more subject to the personal opinions of their leaders and could thus be tainted with lies more easily.

Our analysis does not focus on church schism but on the most critical pieces necessary for Satan's modern plan, for example, divorce.

II) Move People to Evil

Satan wants to make evil seem good so that humans buy into it and become evil. When humans are

evil, they doom themselves to lives without peace and futures without God. However, people are not naturally drawn to what is evil, so there are two pivotal ways to draw people to evil.

- 1) Make people commit idolatry.
- 2) Force people to be evil.

1) Make People commit Idolatry

In this world, humans are limited to interacting with what they sensually experience. Consequently, in their search for God or for higher things, they may come to believe that the world they experience is all there is. Consequently, they can easily be persuaded to subconsciously or consciously worship what they sense. Images become idols, and idols rival God. The theological and philosophical details are too deep for our analysis.

There are two approaches to idolatry.

- a) Have people make idols out of things that already exist or intangible ideas.
- b) Have people create images, which lead to idols.

We will see these pop up again in our analysis as we dissect Satan's schemes.

2) Force People to be Evil

People are not naturally evil, but some people can be convinced to be evil and can be used to influence either naturally good people or neutrals. There are two methods to persuade these people to be evil:

- a) Get evil people/minions to persecute those who promote/do good or do nothing.
- b) Create “strong” government.

For (a): Our instincts of self-preservation and desire to fit-in for social purposes are the basis for the effectiveness of this approach. This approach can be used to sway public opinion and legal action without evil people needing direct power.

For (b): By “strong”, we refer to a government with strict laws and tight control over individuals lives. Such a government, when controlled by evil people, can be used to punish good people for morality, disestablish houses of worship, and perform mass persecution. Again, human desire for self-preservation makes this approach effective. Moreover, physical force by authorities can prevent religious meetings and prevent propagation of faith in public or via mass media.

These two methods are integral to Satan's plan to destroy humanity. Both work hand-in-hand, but (a) always arises before (b) and is its necessary precursor.

Satan's Strategy

Satan's main objectives can be broken down a little to make it easier to dissect them and understand exactly how Satan is attacking via each. The main objectives are now as follows:

- 1) Lay the Foundation of Evil by Making People Self-Centered
- 2) Destroy the Family
- 3) Destroy the Family Concept
- 4) Destroy the Church
- 5) Make the State “God”
- 6) Force/Reinforce Evil with Strong Government
- 7) Get Technology to Replace Humanity

Bear in mind that each of these are complicated and interconnected. Certain effects have identical precursors to cause them, and obviously, certain attack methods have multiple effects that assist in the completion of many or all of the objectives. It is impossible to untangle the entire web in sequential fashion, so more complete analysis of each vector will occasionally be delayed until we analyze the precursor causes when they show up later in other attack vectors.

1) Lay the Foundation of Evil by Making People Self-Centered

Before the ball can get rolling, Satan must get people to focus on themselves. Selfishness is the antithesis of love. God is love, and when a society is full of loving people, that society is orderly. Therefore, to disrupt order, Satan must make people selfish.

To prevent people from returning to the anti-thesis of selfishness, Satan has instead convinced people that it is the *opposite* of self-centeredness, not the *antithesis* of selfishness, that is the desirable moral virtue. The opposite of self-centeredness is merely communalism, seeing oneself as merely one individual among many. That's not to say it's bad to view oneself as part of community, but this specific mentality is meant to decrease human value by making it no longer special. Furthermore, Satan has us believe that the antithesis of selfishness is unselfishness, which is actually its opposite. Unselfishness is merely not looking out for or rewarding oneself, which is not the same as love. Love is taking care of others in addition to or before oneself, not withholding goodness from oneself.

Most humans instinctively want to take care of themselves. Hence, by framing things in the aforementioned manner, Satan makes the alleged antithesis of selfishness (love) unappealing by making it appear opposed to self-preservation.

This backwards thinking also plays into another of Satan's tactics: the responsibility of love.

Love is a responsibility of human beings. But when people are unloved, they feel something missing, something they should expect from other people. Rather than teaching people to wait on love, Satan has

taught people to demand it by putting the onus on them to support their own interest. This self-supportive approach has led to the term “right”. The word “right” (a “good”) has no inherent meaning on its own. Rather, it is a sense of entitlement. One person's “right” is in fact another person's responsibility. By calling it a “right” instead of a “responsibility”, Satan took away the obligation of love from the responsible party and made it a demand (“entitlement”) of the receiving party.

Thus, there are two ways in which Satan lays the foundation of self-centeredness in society:

- a) Turn public perception to focusing on one's own needs, wants, and personal likings.
- b) Replace “responsibility” with “right”, putting the onus on oneself to defend perceived necessary goods.

With regards to (a), it is notable just how much society emphasizes focusing on oneself. In part, this is emphasized in capitalist markets in which businesses need to convince people to buy their products, so they do so by associating the product with personal gain. The buying and selling of products creates value in an economy, thus rewarding the capitalist system. However, self-centeredness is not created by such a system, only worsened by it.

The focus on one's own personal needs, likes, and interests leads to a mentality that expects a certain standard of living. Thus, Satan's next steps are:

- i. Get people to believe they deserve or are entitled to what they want, a good life, etc.
- ii. Then: Get people to believe society and should provide that for them.

The chosen means by which society provides for these spoiled brats is via the government. The government must grow in power and size to tend to this task, and thus the people's mentality has contributed to a government that is more controlling. Such a government come to be known as “Big Brother”, and as its power has grown, it has become a honey-pot attracting evil. In accordance with the overall objectives, big government fits into Satan's plans to force people to be evil.

With regards to (b), the ambiguity of the concept of “right” has opened the door for abuse. A “right” can be anything one believes they should receive, and thus it has become subject to whim. For example, here are two rights that on first glance are founded in something good yet in practice are expanded to include evil:

- i. Right to freedom of speech
- ii. Right to freedom of religion

For (i): Freedom of speech is grounded in the responsibility we have to be kind and allow other people to have their say. However, we have a responsibility to use our words to speak the truth and speak kindly. As a “right”, no such moral limitations exist, and speech can be and has been used to promote evil ideas, slander, and blaspheme.

For (ii): Freedom of religion has enabled worship of God without persecution. However, as a “right” has enabled bad religions, Satanism, and tolerance of evil practices. In countries where such a right is not granted, societies purge themselves. (Purging can be by exile, not just execution.)

2) Destroy the Family

For this specific objective, Satan is attacking the family in practice. In order to destroy the family, Satan needs to either prevent it before it begins, tear it apart after it happens, or make it unfruitful. Here are four attack vectors that would accomplish these objectives:

- A) Convince people to not get married. - Preventative measure.
- B) Convince people to not have children. - Unfruitfulness.
- C) Create a society of men vs women, male vs female. - Preventative and tearing.
- D) Convince people to try to build the family in the wrong way. - Unfruitfulness.

2-A) Convince People to Not Get Married

There are many ways to prevent marriage, but here are the primary ones:

- i) Make married life unappealing, too difficult or too scary.
- ii) Enable the benefits of marriage outside of marriage.
- iii) Make marriage divisible (divorce).

For (i): Many things fit under that umbrella. Marriage involves connecting to a family, so one example of how marriage may be unappealing is by the ugliness or uncouthness of the family to which one is considering marrying.

For (ii): The benefits of marriage include intimacy and sex. However, the most notable is simply the company of the opposite sex. This has been found in cohabitation.

For (iii): Divorce can be promoted by making it easy and possible for the most trivial of reasons. Divorce has been made extremely easy in modern times through “no fault” divorce. Satan often gets people to divorce for economic or emotional reasons. The latter case is the most prevalent. By 2010, over 70% of divorces in the US were by women. Women have the least to lose in divorce, whereas men can lose everything and risk going to prison if they can't pay alimony. Fear of divorce has been cited as the leading reason men avoid marriage. Later, we'll explore how divorce began and how feminism has used divorce to destroy men and the family.

2-B) Convince People to Not Have Children

To continue on the human family, there must be children. Families that have children are drawn closer together by their love for the child and their need for cooperation among their members. Furthermore, a baby is new life, created in the cooperation of father, mother, and God in sex, and representative of God's creation of new life in the union of God with His church.

Therefore, it is imperative that Satan destroy this sacred narrative and eliminate children from the family. There are a number of ways he can do this, but these usually fit into the four general categories listed below.

- i) Make life situations seem too overwhelming to feel that having a child is a good, safe, and/or healthy idea.
- ii) Shape public perception of babies to viewing them negatively.
- iii) Enable abortion.
- iv) Make government limit the number of children individuals can have.

For (i): Excuses include: material health, family approval, finances, and the preoccupation with one's own life that a baby would interrupt.

For (ii): There are two sub-attack vectors, both of which are to remove the positive connotation associated with babies. Babies are naturally cute and cuddly, so these attack vectors are primarily effective in two cases: before people have a child and when people are too old to remember the joys of having a baby. The sub-attack vectors are:

- ii-1) Dehumanize babies before they are born, calling a baby names like “parasite”, “fetus”, “watermelon”, and anything other than “baby”.
- ii-2) Change the usage of affectionate, positive connotation terms for baby to that of other things. (e.g. “Baby” means “girlfriend”, “wife”, etc.)

For (iii): For abortion to be enabled, the most effective approach is to get people to believe it is a “right” (a necessary good) and to put the decision on that of the mother, whose natural feminine lean towards emotion and burden of responsibility makes her more easily swayed by irrational arguments and arguments suggesting “escape”.

For (iv): The government can mandate child-count limitations on the populous and even enforce those limits by sterilization of women. Many such excuses can be employed for this “population control” including “environmental resource exhaustion” (in the case of too many people) and “national stability” (to prevent there from being more people than the government can handle).

2-C) Create a Society of Men vs Women, Male vs Female

This is a very deep attack vector and requires a greater understanding of male and female mentalities, which we will explore later when we discuss psychology-discovered personality types.

2-D) Convince People to Try to Build Family the Wrong Way

Family construction requires a single male and a single female and the occurrence of unrestricted sex between them for the production of offspring. To prevent this, Satan has done a number of things:

- i) Convince people to try same-sex relationships. - Prevents fruitfulness (child birth).
- ii) Convince people to try “family planning” (through condoms, avoidance of sex outside of menstrual periods, etc.). - Prevents fruitfulness.

Both of these affect the heart of what it means to have a family, but they can co-exist with authentic implementations of the family because they are primarily restricted to a practical level. In both cases, there are still a “couple” involved united by “love” of some sort.

To completely destroy the family, Satan needs to destroy the very concept of what family means.

3) Destroy the Family Concept

The concept of a family is more than just the practice. It includes the more abstract understanding of what constitutes a family and how to build one. There are many elements to what makes a family, so Satan has endeavored to pollute, damage, and eliminate each of them. His strategy includes the following parts:

- A) Invert the family chain of command.
- B) Redefine “family”.
- C) Make the ideal family hard to build.
- D) Move people away from optimum years of child production.
- E) Give the illusion that changes in the family structure are part of social “progress” and evolution.

Bear in mind that some of these strategies have been implemented over the course of centuries, but they have had their greatest foothold in modern times.

3-A) Invert the Family Chain of Command

An orderly family chain of command, according to Scripture is one in which God leads the husband (father) who leads his wife (mother) who leads the children. In short: God > father > mother > child. To create chaos, Satan needs to invert that chain to be child > mother > father > God. There are two important steps to do this:

- i) Make woman the authority of the house.
- ii) Setup a culture in which child opinion and authority is deemed more appropriate/better than that of their parents.

For (i): Deeper analysis is needed, so we will get to that in a moment.

For (ii): Satan uses entertainment media to promote the narrative that children are more intelligent or wiser than their parents.

By making children the authority, Satan can take advantage of their lack of experience and wisdom to guide them and their families in the wrong direction. Furthermore, he can make child authority override parents such that the parents are no longer able to protect their children for irreversible mistakes. One notable example is that of children in puberty who, based on psychological processes beyond their understanding, find themselves wanting to be the opposite sex. This is a normal process and corrects itself if allowed to run its natural course, but while children are confused, they are often encouraged by

Satan and misguided people into irreversibly changing their sexual body parts, a process that will complicate and damage their health in the long run.

3-A-i) Make Woman the Authority

By making the mother the authority, the transition to making children the authority is easy because women tend to give into child demands more readily than men. Women simply don't have the discipline to enforce "No" to child immoral, belligerent, and unruly behavior, which comes naturally from the sinful nature inherent in children. Therein lies the key:

Discipline is the job of the man, the father.

By God's design, enforcing discipline is the role of the man. From fathers to policemen to soldiers to kings to emperors, the role of enforcer is generally male. Society is naturally patriarchal because men are naturally more domineering and enforce discipline.

To create chaos in society, Satan must remove discipline. To do, he must do one or all of the following:

- i-1) Put the woman in charge of man. This weakens or removes male authority.
- i-2) Remove the father from the family. This removes the source of discipline entirely.
- i-3) Setup a culture that frowns upon and punishes the enforcer of discipline. This prevents men from disciplining children.
- i-4) Make men appear "evil" and women "good". This destroys a man's reputation whether he is part of a family or not.

3-A-i-1) Put Woman in Charge of Man

In Genesis, God set Adam over Eve saying "Your desire shall be for your husband and he shall rule over you" (Gen 3:16). At first glance, it seems to be a punishment, but in reality, God set up the order to prevent the family from sin. Woman led mankind into sin, but man (especially the New Adam, Jesus), would lead them out.

It is critical to note the parallels between the established order of the family and the other orders, especially the political order and the spiritual family. These parallels will be recurring themes in our analysis.

If woman rules over man, then, from a psychology and spiritual perspective, emotion rules over reason in the family. Later, we will see the psychology statistics that show females are predominantly "feeling"-based and men are predominately "reason"-based. By nature, women lean towards feeling-based mentality and men towards reason-based mentality even when their dominant mentality is the opposite. Emotions are easier to manipulate, and even men can be manipulated by their feelings.

For the family authority structure to invert, people must become the opposite of their natural bent. Men must become "effeminate", that is, have a feeling-based mentality. Women must become "masculine".

There are two keys to putting woman in charge of men:

- i-1-1) Establish “female empowerment” culture.
- i-1-2) Promote “Yes, dear” narrative for happy marriage.

For (i-1-1): “Female empowerment” culture arises out of feminism, which rhetorically asks why women can't perform the same duties as men and seeks to supplant male roles. This is a cultural movement that will be explored later.

For (i-1-2): Rather than asserting their authority in the family, effeminate men take the more comfortable route of surrendering to their wives and doing as she wishes. Serving is a necessary element to every family, but the degree and extent to which men surrender hurts the family structure and reveals that their primary intention is selfish. These men seek only their peace of mind rather than the well being of the family. To reinforce female authority, Satan inspires women to be bitchy and whiny, which annoys the husband until he surrenders to his wife's demands.

3-A-i-2) Remove the Father from the Family

In divorce, child custody is almost always awarded to the mother. (This is one of the reasons men refuse to marry.) Consequently, children are almost always left without a father, the role model for boys and the model of discipline in the family.

Divorce has been made prevalent for two reasons:

- i-2-1) Divorce has been made enticing for women.
- i-2-2) Divorce can be “no fault” and non-mutual.

For (i-2-1): Women are given financial incentive to divorce. Men risk losing their house, their car, and their life savings. If they can't pay alimony, they risk prison. There is no guarantee that “child support” in fact pays for child support. Feminism has pushed the narrative that the woman is entitled to a standard of living because she has been “accustomed” to it, even though it is at the expense of the man. It has thus been noted that children are sometimes used as a tool to take wealth from men and give it to women.

Divorce is also enticing for women because of emotional factors. Being emotional, many women find their husbands “boring” and decide to ditch them.

For (i-2-2): “No fault” divorce means no party was guilty of illegal activity, infidelity, or abuse, yet the divorce can be granted anyways. This allows for divorce to occur for the flimsiest of excuses or non at all. By being non-mutual, one party can initiate the divorce even if the other party disagrees. This has allowed both men and women to divorce as an attempt to collect the other's wealth. For the rich, this is chump change, but for average civilians, men usually suffer the most because they are the employed party.

The multi-million dollar divorce industry only exacerbates the problem, though it is not itself a cause.

3-A-i-3) Setup a Culture that Frowns Upon and Punishes the Enforcer of Discipline

To make this possible, culture must be trained to associate discipline (wisely administered punishment) with abuse (excessive or cruel punishment). Then society will shield children from discipline and use government agencies (such as the “Child Protective Services” in the USA) to remove children from their homes for the sake of “protecting” them. Culture can be shaped by formulating a narrative that calls attention to legitimate abusers. With repetition of this narrative, society at large will begin to see such abuse as “normal” and believe that average individuals are presumably guilty parties.

3-A-i-4) Make Men Appear “Evil” and Women “Good”

Satan teaches society that men are the default instigators of problems, the primary cause of evils. Women are made out to be good, “saintly”, naturally kind. Media and cultural factors promote this false narrative. The consequence is that male reputation is shattered. Men are increasingly presumed guilty in criminal matters and treated unjustly, whereas women are given the benefit of the doubt.

This specific attack vector of Satan is used to reinforce others as well as boost the claims of feminism that women are the best leaders, thus contributing to the inversion of the family structure.

Females are made to appear as “saintly” by the following methods:

- i-4-1) Associate women with positive “natural” virtues as if only they, and not men, have them.
- i-4-2) Dissociate female activity from evil. “She can do no wrong.” Pin blame on men.
- i-4-3) Associate female weakness with tenderness, gentleness, in need of society's help.

All three of these are advanced by feminist culture, but they have their roots in “Christian society”, not Christianity proper.

Christianity associates holiness and gentleness. Motherly love is gentle, mothers are women, and women are relatively weaker than men, therefore weakness has been associated with holiness. Because society has Christendom as its foundation, this mentality creates two problems.

First, Christians desire to care for the weak and helpless. Unfortunately, this kindness has been taken advantage of. Women are considered *entitled* to help just because they are women (See 1-a-ii).

Second, Christian ethics has tainted the concept of “chivalry”. Chivalry is not Christian by origin. Rather, it is the worship of erotic love dating back to the Middle Ages in which men did deeds for women to whom they were not married, even other men's wives. Nevertheless, chivalry has been mistaken for “love” partly because there is some overlap between the Christian virtue of charity and partly because romantic languages fail to offer distinct words for the different types of love. (For example, in English, “love” could mean “eros”, “agape”, etc.) The main problem with chivalry is that, by exalting erotic love, it also elevates the woman to a status of both perfection and superiority to man. Christianity, by contrast, says man and woman are of equal dignity and equally fallible.

One artifact of chivalry is a man kneeling to a woman for the proposal of marriage. Its original intent was a mockery of Christian love wherein the woman would have to submit to her husband.

The mentality of chivalry depicts woman as saintly and man as her servant. This perception of women as saintly as therefore deserving of societal care has come to be known as “sacral feminism”. This form of feminism causes a number of problems, so we shall see it again and again.

3-B) Redefine “Family”

As traditional family breaks down or seems unappealing for whatever reason, Satan has convinced people to redefine “family” so as to structure it however they *feel* it should be and work. Such attempts are doomed to failure, but it seems only by experience can people learn.

There are three attack vectors contributing to the redefinition of family.

- i) Replace authentic intimacy.
- ii) Define friends of no-blood-relation as family.
- iii) Perpetuation of brokenness through brokenness.

3-B-i) Replace Authentic Intimacy

Authentic, healthy intimacy occurs between a couple who has committed their lives to being together. Naturally, humans desire this intimacy. However, it works best when it is a man and woman in marriage. Satan has sought to replace this healthy intimacy in two ways:

First, Satan redefines sexual intimacy so it is more inclusive of non-sexual, non-healthy activity. He deceives people into believing that sexual intimacy can be accomplished through same-sex relations (“homosexuality”) or polygamous relations. He further deceives people into performing non-sexual, unfruitful activities by labeling them as “sex” (such as “anal sex”). These deceptions are accomplished by appealing to the human desire for pleasure and making humans believe that somehow these incorrect views of sex and intimacy will satisfy one's desires. Thus, it is necessary to convince humans to use their “feeling” side of the brain.

Second, Satan makes out-of-wedlock companionship seem a nicer alternative. “Cohabitation” is a Satanic idea in which couples live together and may engage in sexual activity without any commitment. This allows them to sidestep problems like divorce while enabling them to have all of the perceived benefits of marriage. However, authentic intimacy requires trust, an ingredient lacking when couples are not committed as evidenced by their agreement in matrimony.

3-B-ii) Define Friends as Family

As the traditional family structure breaks apart and disappears from society and as people find themselves in broken homes (especially from divorce), people begin to feel the psychological need for something closer than mere companionship. The concept of “family” carries with it the pleasurable,

emotional “baggage” that traditionally filled the void. Thus, to revive the positive experience, people begin looking for a substitute. Many have been tricked by Satan into believing that simply labeling a collection of close friends as “family” will solve the problem, as if labeling a banana an “apple” will somehow change its essence.

What is missing is a key ingredient in all traditional families: blood relation. Even though technically all human beings are related by blood by virtue of having the same ancestral origins, close blood relation adds a layer of intimacy and depth to the relationship one person has with another. It is an unspeakable depth which inspires obligation to care for the other members of the family. Friends can be replaced or abandoned or disposed, but blood-kin cannot.

The establishment of family by blood is based on God's intention for intimacy with humanity. God intends to make us blood-kin by sharing His blood with us as a sign of unity and intimacy with Him. Therefore, it is all the more necessary that Satan downplay the importance of blood in defining family.

3-B-iii) Perpetuate Brokenness Through Brokenness

“Hurt people hurt people.” People who grew up in dysfunctional families are inexperienced in making a good family when they start to build their own. Thus, they are likely to repeat the mistakes of their parental role models or make uninformed attempts to try something new. Abused children will grow up to be abusive. Children of divorced homes will likely divorce when older. Children of “redefined” families will grow up confused and unable to start a healthy, functional, traditional family.

3-C) Make the Ideal Family Hard to Build

God designed humans such that ideal conditions are required to build a family. Among these are the conditions of close friendship, appropriate age, and sexual attraction, and good representation of desirable sexual stereotypes. That means people need to be close friends before marrying, the right ages for marriage, attracted to each other (body, character, intelligence, personality), and model what their sex should look like (Most men expect feminine woman, and most women expect manly men).

To destroy the family, Satan must disrupt all of these. His objectives thus include the following:

- i) Give people (impossibly) high standards for what their spouse should be like.
- ii) Prevent people from connecting, making friends, and growing close.
- iii) Make people ugly.
- iv) Make men effeminate.
- v) Make women “man-like”.

All of these work in tandem with each other. Making people ugly lowers the bar people sets for themselves while giving them high standards sets the bar higher than either others or themselves can attain. Preventing people from connecting not only prevents couples from marriage, it causes people to lose touch with reality and with prospects who are actually available for them to choose from.

3-C-i) Give People High Standards for What Their Spouse Should Be Like

This attack approach is simple. There are three things whose availability Satan can push that would cause this to become a problem.

- i-1) Day dreams.
- i-2) Perceived available candidates.
- i-3) Romance novels.
- i-4) Pornography.

For (i-1): Satan often entertains our minds with daydreams of the perfect person. This raises our expectations for what to look for in people. The fantastic people in these daydreams become abstract forms of idols.

For (i-2): The flooding of the marriage prospect market with candidates gives the illusion that a Mr Perfect or Ms Perfect must be available among all these people. This causes a raise in expectations. People start to believe that more options means their dream option will become available. Flooding the market is done in two ways: gathering people into cities and the creation of dating websites. The precursor requirement for dating websites is the internet. The internet provides far more options than cities. It extends nationwide and even worldwide, thereby extremely flooding the internet and raising expectations even higher. Moreover, the internet makes the search impersonal, which works in tandem with (3-C-ii). These are only two of the many ways the internet has been a detriment to society.

For (i-3) and (i-4): Women tend to gravitate towards romance novels. Men tend to gravitate towards pornography because visuals appeal to them more. There is some crossover. In both cases, people are given the delusion of what an ideal spouse looks and acts like. Fictional literature and graphic imagery have the power to be as unrealistic as their creators wish, so they very readily depict unnatural human beings and give people the wrong ideas. They describe perfect bodies, heightened sexual pleasure, and mentalities overly eager for intimacy. By no accident, real people who model the bodily characteristics are known as idols. Romance novels are propagated by libraries, and pornography is spread through magazines. However, the broad spread of both has been increased by the internet.

3-C-ii) Prevent People From Connecting, Making Friends, and Growing Close

Nothing kills relationships faster than stopping them from even getting off the ground. Satan has resorted to a couple of broad ways of preventing people from connecting.

- ii-1) Limit options for how people can meet.
- ii-2) Scare people from meeting.

There are more, but these two are the most common in the modern world.

3-C-ii-1) Limit Options for How People Can Meet

This attack vector has been made easier by the advancing of technology.

- ii-1-1) Transition society from a meet-through-mutual-friends or meet-in-person to meet-via-internet.
- ii-1-2) Get people to stop meeting in church.

For (ii-1-1): While it is still possible to meet people in person or through friends, many people have resorted to the internet as a solution for all of their needs, including meeting friends. A number of sites are devoted to connecting people, giving the illusion that it works. Quite the opposite is true. Even though people are making acquaintances, mistakenly called “friends”, there is a shallowness to relationships made over the internet. Face-to-face meetings provide the opportunity to closely watch new people and learn their likable and unlikeable quirks, among other things. Meetings over the internet don't allow for much depth beyond the voluntary exchange of information.

For (ii-1-2): Church services used to provide the opportunity to socialize. As church service procedures have changed and service attendance declined, people are meeting new and old friends less frequently per week.

3-C-ii-2) Scare People From Meeting

Satan is the king of fear, and he has used fear to intimidate people from doing good. In modern society, he has guided both sexes into mentalities of fearfulness by completing the following objectives:

- ii-2-1) Create a culture that highlights the negative consequences for meeting people.
- ii-2-2) Creating a culture that says its wrong to want to meet someone for the reason of their beauty.

For (ii-2-1): The mainstream media has told the narrative of rape as if it were common. Many woman are now afraid of rape, and many men are fearful that they may be presumed rapists if they even approach a woman to talk to her. This is huge problem that has impacted many potential relationships. The problem has grown and worsened because of the “sex culture”, in which sex has been brought to the forefront of people's minds (especially via romance novels and pornography), thereby making people assume that encounters between opposite sexes have sexual intentions.

For (ii-2-2): God designed beauty to draw people together, but Satan has used sex-culture to associate beauty with sex. Words like “beauty” have been replaced by sex-reminding words like “sexy”, “sex appeal”, and “hot”. The objectification of woman – that is, viewing women as objects for sex – has been increased by pornography and incited backlash by well-meaning women, especially feminists. Unfortunately, in attempting to combat objectification, some feminists have argued that *any and all* physical attraction is wrong. This is a point of contention between “whig feminists” and “sacral feminists” (who say the opposite).

3-C-iii) Make People Ugly

In effort to make the world ugly (in accordance with (I-1-C)), Satan has manipulated people into believing that beauty is a goal that should not be strove for by anyone save by a select few whom he can use for pornography. This also increases the difficulty

In relation to (3-C-ii-2-2): Whig feminists believe that trying to appeal bodily pleasing to men puts women at the mercy of men, which is contrary to empowering woman. Hence, they argue women should be accepted as ugly as they are. It is contrary to whig feminist ideology that women should improve their bodies.

Satan doesn't want beauty to appear at all in average life, so he has made pointing out ugliness taboo. In this era, Satan has made everyone extremely sensitive and thereby easily offended. Satan has taught his followers to consider offending someone as a cardinal sin, thereby allowing a great deal of not-good to be tolerated. Women have become very sensitive with regards to their appearance. It is now deemed inappropriate for you to call someone “ugly” even if it's true from your perspective. Beauty is subjective, but there are elements to beauty that are commonly shared by the masses. For example, relative weight and figure. However, sensitivity has made it difficult to even slightly point out how someone doesn't fit the common perception of beauty. To make matters worse, Satan deceived people into being proud of their natural state, however ugly it is.

Men tend to be honest but also blunt, and since they are general more visual, it is generally their job to point out their likings and dislikings with the appearance of the opposite sex. However, in a feminist culture, male opinion is deemed “hateful” if it doesn't support the whims of feminists.

The uglification has discouraged people in their attempts to find their ideal spouse. Again, the bar has been raised by other factors, but even if the bar had not been raised, people are making no effort to fulfill the body design's primary purpose of pleasing the other sex. This is true for both men and women.

Some discussion could be made here regarding the increase in unhealthy diets and obesity, but that topic is of minor importance in our analysis.

3-C-iv) Make Men Effeminate

In the ideal family, the man as a husband and father does the hardest work and acts as a role model for his sons. He must be dedicated to providing for the needs his family, dutiful in his work, willing to accept a certain degree of suffering in order to succeed, and proud of his masculinity. The prosperity of the family hinges on his leadership. Moreover, these things are naturally expected of him by his wife and children. It is thus contrary to masculine identity to be soft, afraid of pain, lazy, waiting on others to do the work, and discontent with being a man (wishing he were the opposite sex). However, men can be made that way, and here's a couple of ways Satan does it:

- iv-1) Draw out the natural emotional side of men.
- iv-2) Make pleasure without work more appealing than hard work.

- iv-3) Build a culture that values feminine characteristics over masculine ones.

Before discussing these, it is important to note the consequences. Making men effeminate has not only lowered their status in the family but also their level of responsibility. The result has been that women have had to pick up the slack. The blame rests with feminism, which in attempting to “empower” women and weaken “male dominance” has in fact resulted in women having double the workload and men having little or none. In the public sector, this outcome can be confirmed by the boom in the number of daycare centers popping up; women simply don't have time to both work a job and care for children. With less time to care for children, women choose to have less, and thus family size shrinks.

3-C-iv-1) Draw Out The Natural Emotional Side of Men

As we will discuss later with personality types, everyone has both a rational side and an emotional side. The rational side often chooses a course of action based on the outcomes. The emotional side often chooses a course of action based on the experience of the journey to reach an outcome. The two can work hand-in-hand or even choose the same course of action for different reasons, making it difficult to distinguish between them. For example, the emotional side seeks self-preservation because of the pain of dying and fear of the unknown in death, whereas the rational side seeks self-preservation because elimination of oneself would prevent further progress in life.

The emotional side is easier for Satan to manipulate because it is irrational. It can be guided by perceived pleasure (as opposed to actual) and perceived pain (as opposed to actual). Naturally, then, when a man thinks predominantly with his emotional side, his rational side is used to provide justification for all sorts of unworthy aspirations.

Guided by emotion, man chooses not what is best for him but what he feels is pleasant.

In some cases (such as self-preservation), it is good to have a balance in thought. Consequently, God created every person to have both an rational side and an emotional side, and God created men who think rationally to balance women who think emotionally. The problem comes when we don't have balance and fail to prioritize the long term consequences of our actions.

3-C-iv-2) Make Pleasure Without Work More Appealing Than Hard Work

Once a man is thinking primarily emotionally, he begins to justify taking shortcuts in life and skipping hard work. In the olden days, there was no way around hard work. You worked or you died of starvation. In modern times, the advancement of technology has resulted in all sorts of devices and mechanisms that provide convenience. To promote slothfulness, Satan has inspired people to accumulate devices that do work for you. These devices aren't evil in themselves, but the hoarding of such devices indicates one of the key ways modern life is under the influence of Satan: Satan has people worshiping convenience.

The worship of convenience arises from the leisure it provides. When people think emotionally, they pay attention to what makes them feel good. Leisure often feels nice, so many people have begun to worship anything that provides them with leisure: devices, cars, lack of children, and so on.

Since children are inconvenient, people don't have them. This is one of the key ways in which Satan is destroying the family.

Leisure is more gratifying after hard work because the former is a reward for the latter. However, in modern times, hard work is associated with pain and discomfort rather than a sense of accomplishment that it can provide.

A huge boost to the laziness mentality comes from video games. Video games provide great pleasurable experiences with very minimal physical effort and thus very little discomfort. Video games are the ultimate device for the lazy: they reward the emotional mentality that seeks pleasure without hard work.

iv-3) Build a Culture that Values Feminine Characteristics Over Masculine Ones

To disorient men, it is necessary for Satan to make the female sex more appealing so that men will wish they were more like women.

Part of that is glorifying the female body, idolizing it. Since men are more visual creatures, the female body itself has been praised throughout the centuries as being the more beautiful of the two. In truth, they are the same. However, the cultural norm is for one sex to be attracted to the opposite, and therefore, men don't generally notice their own bodily worth. Consequently, this has led a number of men attracted to their own sex to question their "sexual orientation". They then easily fall into the Satanic deception that they must be "homosexual" and should therefore act more feminine.

Some men naturally are emotional. However, females tend to lean towards the emotional side, so emotions are equated with culture of femininity. This has left emotional men prone to the deception that they are "homosexual".

In truth, God created a diversity of people, the emotional mentality does not solely belong to woman, and the male body is not inferior to the female one.

Nevertheless, the glorification of the female body has left numerous men jealous. Jealousy is a great tool of Satan. The lie goes "the grass is greener on the other side". Some men have attempted to change their biological sex even though the rest of their appearance is preserved. This complicates their ethical situation, disqualifying them from moral sexual relations, thereby furthering Satan's cause to lead them into sin. Such shows the power of Satanic deception.

There are also a number of people caught in the middle: the transgender. Authentic transgender people have a body that resembles one sex while possessing the sexual organs of another. Cultural stereotypes of men and women have been used by Satan to steer these people into ambiguity. Meanwhile, their plight is drowned by phony "transgender" people whose mentality doesn't fit the cultural stereotypes.

The glorification of the female, especially her body, is supported by the "sacral feminist" movement. This particular movement seeks to empower women, which creates jealousy in men (thus leading to feminization) in other ways.

According to gender stereotypes, women are associated with “soft virtues”: kindness, meekness, gentleness, empathy, and so on. These virtues promote pleasure, whereas masculine virtues (such as discipline) promote pain. As society's members become more oriented towards thinking emotionally, the soft virtues (and by association, women) become more honorable. Being female, a woman is the target of kindness. She is considered kind, nice, and polite, so she ought to be treated in the same way. Men, on the other hand, are equated with being rough, vulgar, and pain-inflicting. As men become soft, they become jealous of the treatment women receive.

Moreover, as society affords increasing benefits towards woman – power, position, employment, legal protection – men become jealous or at the very least seek self-preservation. Culture's bias provides incentive to label oneself publicly as female even if one doesn't feel effeminate.

3-C-v) Make Women “Man-like”

“Man-like” – not to be confused with “manly” – is when the occupational, domineering, and bodily appearance qualities of men are copied and followed while excluding masculine virtues such as courage, loyalty, and discipline.

Being man-like is very unattractive for a woman, and thus women who try it dissuade men from marrying them, thus contributing to the decline of the ideal family.

However, Satan has tricked many woman into believing that in order to be “equal” to men, they must be “man-like”. Contrarily, being “man-like” is not what makes people equal in dignity, only partially equal in appearance. As with many other things, Satan's deception is that appearance means equality and goodness.

3-D) Move People Away from Optimum Years of Child Production

In order to eliminate the human race, it is helpful to make them too old or too unfit to have children. Disrupting child-birth before it begins is the optimum approach, so for that, Satan need merely to alter who can date and the age at which people marry. Outlined, the attack vectors are:

- i) Change the cultural perception of who should get married.
- ii) Delay marriage.

3-D-i) Change the Cultural Perception of Who Should Get Married

In this regard, our interest is in the age, not the sex, of who should get married. The identified age of manhood has changed over the course of the centuries with the changes in daily life and with the development of psychology. In ancient times, a man was apprenticed and was well equipped to care for himself and a family by his late teens, hence the age of manhood in the USA was set to age 18. Psychologists later discovered men may not be fully mature psychologically until age 29. Generally, women are biologically ready to marry by age 15, and their peak child production years are between 15 and 25. It was not uncommon in the ancient world for men as old as 30 to marry teenage women, and

in the middle ages, even young children were permitted to marry. Statistics suggests the most stable marriages occur when the couple is only a few years apart in age. (Perhaps the reason for this is people of similar age respect each other's opinions because they grew up with similar cultural backgrounds.)

To disrupt marriage of youths, Satan needed to distort the cultural perspective of the marital age of men and women. For this, he needed to do three things:

- i-1) Set the age of adulthood.
- i-2) Replace courting with dating.
- i-3) Associate men attracted to women under “adult age” with pedophilia.

For (i-1): The age of adulthood was set to 18 via voting laws. Thereafter, people started to equate the age of adulthood with the age of appropriate marriage for no other reason than “law”.

For (i-2): Dating involves only the couple and their assessments of each other whereas courting invites the judgments of the family. In courting, a man with interest in a woman must approach her father. He goes to the protector of the woman. This invites the judgment of the father for the welfare of the daughter and makes it easier for the would-be husband in communication because he speaks man-to-man. In dating, a woman is left to her own judgments (which may be immature and naive) of the would-be husband and his judgments. There is no mature voice to speak into their lives about when the time is ready.

The truth is, people vary in maturity. Some people are ready to marry young and some people ought to wait. However, in modern culture, mature voices are excluded from helping discernment.

For (i-3): Most woman are biologically designed to be in their peak beauty in their teens and early twenties with some exceptions. Therefore, they attract the attention of naturally healthy males even when older. However, because of the sex culture promoted by Satan, much of the attraction has become or has been equated with lust, which is very dangerous. However, even if the man and woman are close in age (man of age 18 and woman of 16), culture has deemed such relationships as inappropriate because the woman is not of “adult age”. In so doing, the age of marriage has shifted away from youth. Before we think this shift has ceased, it is notable that as people marry in their 30s and 40s, the bottom line will shift repeatedly until it is deemed unacceptable for them to marry under 30.

The consequence is a disruption of God's biological design of humans. As humans marry in their 30s, they are less fruitful and less capable in rearing children because the energy and vitality of their youth is spent. Moreover, many middle-aged or older individuals are considered outliers for being attracted to youths. We don't condone marrying distant youth for a number of reasons and the topic is far more complicated than this discussion permits, but we must admit it is biologically normal – not psychologically unhealthy – to be attracted to youths.

It must be noted that in olden times, people did not live beyond their 50s, so marrying very young was acceptable. As modern society declines and collapses, it will be necessary to return to such patterns for the sake of preserving the human race.

3-D-ii) Delay Marriage

The modern era has seen a steady increase in the average age of marriage from about 22 years old for men and 20 years old for women to 28 years old of men and 26 years old for women, but this is not indicative of the whole story. Some people are marrying young, but many are waiting until their 30s and 40s. Many people now feel “too old” when they start their marriage and put aside the thoughts of having children. Thus, Satan has been successful in these matters. The key attack vector that Satan utilized is financial – making marriage infeasible. There are two ways this was done:

- ii-1) Make marriage expensive.
- ii-2) Student loan debt.

For (ii-1): Marriage has become more expensive as people seek to revel in the celebration. This is purely a lack of foresight on the part of the couple. Moreover, marriage payment has shifted from bride's family to groom, thereby freeing the bride of family-induced constraints (i.e. her parents would suffer if she chose an elaborate wedding) but putting greater pressure on men to avoid the ordeal.

For (ii-2): Given that all marriages incur cost, financial debt can be a prohibiting factor in marriage. Student loan debt has become a serious one. As the cost of education has risen, students find the topic of their debt to be increasingly taboo in their romantic relationships because they are afraid such debt, which is shared by couples, will scare the other person away from marrying them. But why student loan debt is a problem is because of the crafty planning of Satan that has come to pass by the following historical progression:

- ii-2-a1) Advance in technology has raised the knowledge level needed for work.
- ii-2-a2) Higher education has become necessary for entry into the workforce.
- ii-2-a3) Student loans have become necessary to pay for increasing cost of higher education.

None of this is bad in itself, but it leads to seemingly unavoidable requirements for becoming a self-supportive citizen. People are being funneled, and in that funnel, Satan has set a three-part trap:

- ii-2-b1) Make student loan debt inescapable (no bankruptcy).
- ii-2-b2) Make student loan debt shared by debt co-signers and spouses.
- ii-2-b3) Raise the cost of higher education so that loans cannot be repaid in reasonable time.

As employment competition has increased, many individuals have found themselves without jobs and in financial ruin yet unable to escape debt. This has led to suicides. Yet the debt has been passed on to families and co-signers, who have also found themselves unable to pay and reduced to financial ruin.

Struggling with debt, many young people have put off major life milestones including buying a house, getting married, and having children. They simply can't afford it.

3-E) Give the Illusion Changes in the Family Structure are Part of Social “Progress” and Evolution

By teaching people to believe in evolutionary biology, Satan has also provided them with the perfect false reasoning to explain why the family structure is decaying and collapsing. People thus accept the decay of the nuclear family as a natural progression of society while disbelieving its association with the steady increase in unhappiness of the general population.

4) Destroy the Church

To save humanity and lead it to heaven, God established His Church to preserve the faith. Satan has a three-pronged approach to reducing the influence of the Church, thereby rendering its message less effective and even unfruitful.

- a) Discredit the Church. (Destroy trust in the Church.)
- b) Deface the image of Christ in society. (Erase and dilute the male role.)
- c) Render the Church as “outdated”/antiquated/boring/irrelevant. (Destroy interest in the Church.)

Points (a) and (c) pertain directly to the Church itself. Point (b) is from the fact that men represent Christ. In attacking men, Satan attacks the Christian symbolism embedded in them.

4-A) Discredit the Church

To get people to stop believing in the message of the Church Satan does two things:

- i) Discredit church teaching.
- ii) Discredit church teachers (ministerial priests, bishops, etc.).

4-A-i) Discredit Church Teaching

There is a three-pronged approach used by Satan to discredit the Church's teachings and thereby get people to stop believing.

- i-1) Pollute the world with competing Christian churches and doctrines.
- i-2) Separate faith and reason.
- i-3) Turn scholarly science against the Church's teaching.

4-A-i-1) Pollute the World with Competing Christian Churches and Doctrines

This approach is about obscuring the teachings themselves, leaving people guessing as to which “church” is truly of God and thereby increasing the likelihood that people will pick one teaching lies.

The Church has undergone a number of splits even since antiquities. Gnosticism and the gnostic gospels on one extreme and Arianism on the other were two polarizations competing with the true church in its earliest days. However, as time passed, doctrines were formalized and became rigid and

competition was subsequently squashed. As the Church becomes increasingly dominated by personal opinion in the modern world, Gnosticism and Arianism have made a comeback.

Satan was successful at dividing the Church into Eastern and Western halves, Roman Catholicism and Eastern Orthodoxy. However, the division was only partially successful since both institutions teach nearly identical theology and morality.

To truly break up the church, Satan needed merely to make man his own pope and deceive him into believing that magically the Holy Bible was “self-evident” in its teaching so that anyone with a copy could, without training in proper exegesis or church history, understand the exact message the Bible had to convey.

The chance arrived with Martin Luther whose initiate quibbles with the price set on indulgences (a worthy cause) was transformed by Satan into an all-out rebellion against the Catholic Church. The details of this story are beyond the subject of our analysis. Suffice it to say, the rebellion of Luther opened the door to rebellion against any and all religious authority. The result was the fragile Protestant church, which now undergoes endless fragmentation for both theological and trivial reasons.

From the perspective of Satan, this is overwhelmingly good news. Pastors who wish to teach the truth may lose half their audience to a church split because truth is unpopular. Congregations break up over some of the smallest details. The division in the church follows the old strategy of “divide and conquer”. As church congregations divide and people take spiritual direction into their own hands, it becomes easier for Satan to convince them of attractive lies, for example, lies that support one's socio-political slant.

4-A-i-2) Separate Faith and Reason

This goes hand in hand with (4-A-i-3). Throughout the Church's history, faith and reason were recognized as cooperating to share the truth since truth cannot be opposed to itself. People often come to God by recognizing the orderly nature of the universe and coming to recognize the “proofs” of God's existence, presence, and love. Much faith is therefore grounded in reason. Thus, it is in the interest of Satan to dissociate the two and thereby steal the foundation of belief for many who do or take interest in the faith.

Though there are many ways of separating faith and reason, the most influential for the modern world has been separating faith and science. For centuries, faith in God inspired men to be scientific because it was a way to learn the truth about the world God had created. However, long before modern times, Satan convinced people – including members of the Church – that faith didn't need science and likewise science didn't need faith. The great lie of Satan is that the two could “co-exist” and “speak of different truths”, as if somehow the world possessed two domains of truth.

Such a belief in different truths was formed in partially because the history of the Church's conflict with science and partially because of philosophical relativism that found its footing in the Era of Enlightenment (though is as old as the ancient Greeks). Philosophical relativism begins with “intelligent” people whose explorations in epistemology bring them to the realization that humans have

no indisputable argument to prove anything. Thus, smart people are also the first people to disassociate faith and reason. This can be observed by analyzing the statistics of certain personality types considered at the top of human intelligence: a significant percentage are either agnostic or atheist depending on how their mind functions. (Agnostics are content with not knowing if God exists whereas atheists are the type that needs to have a definitive answer.)

To spread this conviction that faith and reason are separate, it is necessary for there to be a medium of information dispersal. That medium is the public school. Once conceived as a way of teaching monks how to read and write, it has evolved into an institution whereby the responsibility of parents to teach their children the truth has been passed off to teachers whose instruction is under the control of the state. Through public schools, Satan can force children to be brainwashed with his ideas, and he defends the school system as an institution. Commoners oblivious to the problems schools are spreading still believe that schools are helping kids become knowledgeable.

Interestingly enough, Satan has no intention of continuing to help kids learn. Now that the institution of education is under protection due to its long and wonderful history, Satan is pleased to have it decay. In the Western world (USA, Western Europe), education quality has been on the decline for some time. People are less capable of performing math, not properly informed of history, and struggling to use proper grammar. A less educated populous is easier for dictators and politicians to control, and thus, easier for Satan to manipulate.

4-A-i-3) Turn Scholarly Science Against the Church's Teaching

Saying faith and reason are separate still leaves room for people who wish to believe both faith and reason. Not content with this, Satan wants people to believe faith and science are opposed. In this way, he utilizes man's own reasoning powers against the truth.

Reason is directed. It needs a goal to reach (that is, some point to be argued) and information (evidence for the reasoning to piece together). Because humans are not omniscient, there is always some truth missing from our minds. Satan can take advantage of this by suggesting certain possibilities that fit the given evidence we possess but are themselves false conclusions.

As a human assesses whether or not to believe in the Church, Satan takes a three-pronged approach to appeal to what they trust (the evidence they possess) and reason them away from the faith.

- i-3-1) “Discredit” Biblical claims.
- i-3-2) Replace Biblical ideas and stories with modern “science”.
- i-3-3) Get scientific authorities to disagree and discredit the Church's claims.

For (i-3-1): The Bible makes a number of claims about history depending on whether you read the Bible as literal history (e.g. Deuteronomy, King David, etc.) or as narratives merely meant for theological and moral value (e.g. Jonah, Tobit, etc.). Therefore, many scientists believe they can readily assess the validity of Scripture – and therefore, in part, the validity of the faith of the Church – by archaeological evidence. Archeology is a poor indicator of the actual past since it can only analyze

outcomes and outcomes can have many various causes. This opens a window of opportunity for Satan to provide conflicting theories as to how the past came about. In so doing, he can make the Bible – and therefore the faith – appear untrustworthy.

The interpretation of Scripture in regards to what is history and what is merely narrative is open to debate, and many well-meaning theologians made scientific claims based on what was in Scripture. Consequently, it is easy for Satan to associate theology with such bogus scientific claims, thereby supposedly discrediting the rest of Scripture. Such claims have included the geocentric model of the earth.

For (i-3-2): By associating Scripture with certain fixed literal interpretations (such as the 6-days of Creation), Satan sets up a pin to knock down with science. As science has progressed and become more trustworthy, the reputation of science in general has been used to support theories (such as the Theory of Evolution) that are not fully supported by scientific evidence but have profound implications against literal interpretation of Scripture. To Satan, it seems it is the implications that matter more than the value of the science.

In so doing, Satan pits modern science against Scripture and leverages the reputation of modern science to win the argument.

To further his cause, Satan has victimized science in public perception. For example, Galileo has been transformed from a nobody astronomer who spoke rudely of the pope to a scientific hero whose ideas were suppressed by the domineering Church authorities.

In response to the failed endeavors of the Church in mixing doctrine with science, the Church has recoiled into speaking only on matters it believes as having theological and moral importance. Such a recoil has opened the doors for interpretation on the ethics of fringe science (such as cloning and bionics) where the Church's input is readily needed yet absent.

For (i-3-3): “Argument from authority” is a logical fallacy. To put it one way, just because a person is an expert doesn't mean they are correct. Nevertheless, people trust experts because they are *supposed* to know the answers. However, some experts leverage their reputation to speak outside of their area of expertise or about such things as they do not have complete understanding. A number of scientists have made such a jump.

After Satan convinces certain “experts” that faith and reason are opposed, he utilizes their voice to speak to the public who is then swayed by their “expertise”. Many scientists have legitimate arguments, but rather than discussing them with scientists of opposing opinion and fleshing out the details, these scientists go public with their ideas and become agents of propaganda. Moreover, the mainstream news media, as a tool of Satan, amplifies their voice while ignoring the opposition. In this way, Satan convinces broad swaths of people.

4-A-ii) Discredit Church Teachers

People mistakenly think that those who profess in a particular faith are also prime examples of it

exercised out. It would be nice if it were true, but labels don't equate to practical implementation. Satan takes advantage of this foolish presumption, getting people to assume the actions of Church leadership speak of the reliability of the Church teaching. Or, he utilizes the actions of Church leadership to cause division even when doctrine is supposedly indisputable.

These are thus a couple of ways Satan tries to discredit the Church:

- ii-1) Get the Church to embrace societal and cultural shifts.
- ii-2) Create scandal within the Church.

4-A-ii-1) Get the Church to Embrace Societal and Cultural Shifts

There exists a battle within the Church as to whether and *how* to embrace societal and cultural changes. Embracing changes has been argued will keep Christianity “relevant” to daily life. However, no-one has a clear answer as to *what* the Church needs to embrace and *how* it should embrace changes. Consequently, the arguments over changes can create division. This division alone – and not merely the arguments over embracing changes – can dissuade people from entering the Church because they perceive it as lacking concrete identity.

The Catholic Church attempted to embrace local culture by implementing the changes of the Second Vatican Council. The changes, however, were deliberately misconveyed as they information traded hands. This gave people the false impression that the Church can “change” doctrine when in fact only certain malleable practices had changed. The result was an ecclesial identity crisis.

Protestant churches progressively adapted cultural changes, but the consequence was also a loss of identity. Services degraded into rock concerts, and sermons degraded into motivational speeches. In attempting to remain “relevant” and appealing, protestant churches lost appeal and relevance to average people.

4-A-ii-2) Create Scandal Within The Church

There are many good, intelligent people in the Church. There are also just as many if not more relatively stupid people. But most importantly, all are sinners, all are fallible, and all have issues. It is easy to overshadow people who live relatively pure lives with bad people if such people are associated as is the case with ministerial priests, bishops, and ministers.

On average, the Church is no different in its level of crime as any other human organization. The only real difference is that the Church condemns immorality both inside and outside of it. Therefore, there are two attack vectors to make the Church's evil seem greater than expected:

- ii-2-1) Plant evil men / vulnerable / sin-prone men in the Church.
- ii-2-2) Utilize mediums of public information dissemination (i.e. mainstream media, websites) to hype the problem to ridiculous proportions.

There are a number of different types of scandals that could arise, but the biggest ones were the

financial and sex abuse (homosexuality and child abuse). How these arose is a matter of history. For example, in regards to sex abuse, Satan used the peer pressure of families and Church to lead men into the seminary when they shouldn't have entered in the first place. Trapped as priests and unable to control their sexual appetites, they fell into sin.

4-B) Deface the Image of Christ in Society

Men represent the image of Christ in the family, and women represent the Church. There is beautiful symbolism in this representation. The God-given roles for men are leader, protector, and provider. In each of these ways, men symbolize Christ who is the head of His Church, her protector, and her provider. Men are called to be like Christ: to do the hard work for the sake of loving the family. In effort to distort all of this symbolism, Satan has endeavored to steal the roles from men and give them to women. There are a few attack vectors of interest here:

- i) Dilute social and gender roles so that “anyone can do” them.
- ii) Steal the role of leadership from men.
- iii) Make female pastors and priestesses.
- iv) Replace husband with the state as head.

For (i): Satan sets up a Culture of Adversity that pits men and women against each other. Out of it, people begin to believe that woman can do the same occupations as men. This opens the door to the other attack vectors.

For (ii): As discussed elsewhere, leadership of the family is the role of the man. When the husband and wife trade roles, they distort their familial representation of the Christ-Church head-body image, which leads to the next point.

For (iii): Christ is the head of the church and is a man, therefore, He must be represented by a man. This does not mean a woman cannot assist. However, the duty of priesthood belongs solely to man. It is a difficult duty, thus men are called to it. As men become more effeminate and softer, women are gradually advancing into such roles, thus distorting the Christ-Church / head-body image.

For (iv): Satan wants people to turn to the state for protection for a number of reasons. First, dependence on the state means less *perceived* need for trust in close family, thereby resulting in less trust and degrading family relationships. Second, turning to the state for help means not only replacing the husband but also replacing Christ as head of the family. The chain of command changes. People then begin to believe government will solve their problems instead of humans submitted to Christ (as is the case on a healthy family level). Notably, the state is only interested in maintaining law; it does not have the best interest of its individual citizens in mind. God designed family to care for the best interests of its members.

This does not mean the state and the police are unnecessary. A nation without police quickly reduces to anarchy. However, *primary* dependence on the state is “marriage” to the world. Through manipulation of the state, Satan can effectively control the family and therefore control how people perceive

hierarchical relationships, especially God's vision for His family.

Admittedly, there is a huge burden men are called to, but it can be handled by rightly centering one's life on God and His commandments.

4-C) Render the Church as “Outdated”/Antiquated/Boring/Irrelevant

Being that it contains the truth, the Church is very static. Therefore, in a backdrop of changing society, culture, and progress, the church can seem very quickly to be antiquated. This is not necessarily a bad thing, but given the fact that people naturally prefer to find something new, the Church's teachings and structure can be made by Satan to seem “outdated” and “irrelevant”, “out of touch with the times”. By looking at the Church with a belief in evolution or progress of human society, people see the Church as a institution of primitive mentality, not equipped for handling current-world challenges or correcting the issues of modern life.

Admittedly, the Church seems slow to react. Attempts made by members of the Church to modernize have been fraught with conflict. There are those in the Church who wish to keep the old and those who wish to usher in the new. The result is half-baked compromises that fail to convey the richness of a complete Christian experience. The Christian experience is only meant for partial modernization – that is, enhanced by technology but not directed by it. Instead, the Church is meant to draw out the richness of its history and the authenticity of its teachings. Full modernization would lose this precious element in favor of the new, thus rendering the Church truly boring.

The modern Church can be boring. One source of boredom its buildings. Somewhere along the line, the architecture of church buildings went from very beautiful to very dull. The uglification of such structures has Satanic influence because Satan's goal is to make things ugly. However, financial limitations are also be to blame.

The beauty of the church buildings and writings draws people to the church even when antiquated. In fact, the antiquity can itself be appealing. Therefore, Satan must eliminate such beauty and make antiquity appear unfavorable. He has made little progress by way of destroying old elegant structures, so he influences newer designs.

Notice that as the world becomes more modern, designs become more “sleek”, “smooth”, and uniform rather than possessing various shapes and having texture. The lack of texture makes flaws all the more visible. (Compare for example, a car made of plastic exterior versus one with a wooden exterior. The wooden texture hides cracks and dents better.) Words like “sleek” and “smooth” are really disguises for “boring”, “unvarying”, and “simplistic”. Yet as the modern mentality evolves and tastes change, it begins to embrace the simplistic as beautiful. That's not to say such simplicity isn't beautiful in its own way, but its lack of artistic features reveals that the world is starving for beauty and is struggling to conceive of higher forms of beauty. It is the duty of the Church to inspire higher forms of beauty because they enhance our lives and can lead us to greater thoughts about God.

5) Make the State “God”

Replacing God with the state is Satan's dream goal. With the state as supreme, Satan could control the lives of countless people just by controlling the leaders. There are four key points:

- a) Replace religion with the state.
- b) Replace the family with the state.
- c) Replace the economy with the state.
- d) Replace nationality with a global state / government.

For (a): By replacing religion with the state, the state becomes the supreme moral authority. This enables the redefining of moral such that evil can be made good and good, evil. There are two precursors:

- i) Separate church and state.
- ii) Abolish religion.

For (b): With the family replaced by the state, the state can decide the proper “welfare” of individuals. It will do so only with respect to national interests (rather than personal) and at Satan's direction. The state, rather than loved ones, will then decide who lives and who dies. Moreover, children will be in the hands of the state, thus removing them from loving parental hands. Satan knows children need love to live and grow up as healthy adults, so putting them in the hands of the state will ensure they grow up dysfunctional or die young.

To replace the family with the state requires first getting people dependent on the state. This is done through welfare programs. As we see in history, Satan convinced people to demand such programs.

For (c): By controlling the economy, the state can dictate the distribution of wealth. Good people can then be starved and evil people made prosperous, thus rewarding evil and dissuading people from being good. Like (b), this attack vector is initiated by getting people on welfare programs.

For (d): Nationalism is a defensive mechanism, but it can be used by Satan to cause nations to engage in conflict or outright war. Satan prefers war because it causes lots of death and is akin to anarchy in many ways. (Consider the numerous “war crimes” from toxic gas usage to rape.) However, if the world is not at war, then the most ideal government to Satan is a global government. With such a government, he can control all of the people of the world, not just those of a single nation.

6) Force/Reinforce Evil with Strong Government

Merely having a central government is not enough. To truly transform the world into one filled with wickedness and destruction of human life, the government must be assertive, invasive, and too strong for any rebellion to succeed against it. Such an ambitious goal of Satan has come about with careful planning. We have come to the heart of Satan's greatest plot.

Here are the key components:

- a) Put bad people in power.
- b) Make the government communist.
- c) Weaken men (society's warriors).
- d) Enhance military.
- e) Give the illusion that “modern” forms of government are “progress”.

A) Put Bad People In Power

This should be obvious. If you want bad government decisions, then you need to put bad people into power. Well-meaning but deceived people often fall under the delusion that they can rule society better than everyone else, so they are readily attracted to politics. However, to put them into power (without direct appointment), one of the following two is necessary:

- i) Government leadership that accepts bribery in exchange for positions of power.
- ii) A democratic element to the government.

6-A-i) Leadership That Accepts Bribes In Exchange for Power

This problem existed for centuries in many systems of government. However, for Satan's purposes, this isn't ideal because the position of power is never higher than the authority granting it. So even if one of Satan's minions gets into office, there is still someone above them.

6-A-ii) A Democratic Element to the Government

A democratic element to government can have one of two manifestations. In the first case, as a true democracy, the mob rules. Mobs mean many voices, which is chaos. All Satan needs to do is convince the majority of the populous of a bad idea. However, true democracies decay quickly because decisions cannot be made in an optimum timeframe for real world scenarios. In the second case, as a republican style government, the people choose their leader. Sadly, good people rarely run for office. They are too busy living good lives. With the people choosing their own leader, all Satan needs to do is convince the majority of people (or, in the case of the electoral college, the right areas of the nation) to vote for someone with bad ideas.

Democracies often involve trading hands, and thus too works out for Satan's benefit. He gets one person with bad ideas in office, and then when they have implemented all or most of their bad ideas (and may only have good ideas remaining), Satan has them swapped out for someone new, and that way there is always a fresh supply of bad ideas used for public policy.

Governments with a democratic element have a fatal flaw: in attempting to appease people, they decay into more powerful forms of government meant to treat all of the demands of their constituents.

Establishing a democracy requires first disposing of any stable government that stands in the way. Usually, Satan wishes to remove either a monarchy or a soft dictatorship. To remove the stable

government, the following must happen:

- ii-1) Create discontentment in the commoner.
- ii-2) Raise up a revolutionary leader for the people to follow.

For (ii-1): Satan can do two things to cause this:

- ii-1-1) Create jealousy/envy of the privileged classes. (Easy to do for spoiled monarchies.)
- ii-1-2) Bring to mind flaws and injustices of the authorities.

For (ii-2): All Satan needs is to boost the pride of a sweet-talking chump so he thinks he has the right to lead the people. He becomes the next dictator.

History witnessed all this with the French Revolution and the rise of Napoleon Bonaparte.

B) Make the Government Communist

There are two horrible forms of government: national socialist dictatorship (e.g. Nazi Germany) and communist (e.g. Soviet Russia). The former picks a racial or ethnic group to oppress. The latter is worse than the former because it oppresses everyone indiscriminantly. Both kill many, many people. Both impose great restrictions on every area of freedom including personal, social, and economic.

National socialist dictatorships have a caveat: the leader. If the leader is killed, there will arise a power struggle which may have a net positive effect for the nation. The nature of this power struggle is determined by the mentality of the party in power. Communist governments have no such caveat. The party as a whole rules by communist doctrine, which is enforced by hardliners who stick to that doctrine. The leader is just a figurehead for the party.

The doctrine of communism is that of rule by fear, and since Satan is king of fear, it is his preferred choice. Using fear, communism has greater power over its citizens. National socialism, on the other hand, rides primarily on propaganda instead of fear.

We will explore communism and national socialism in depth later in our analysis.

The important part here is the strategy leading to it. Satan uses a ping-pong effect of having communism build national socialism, which in turn builds communism, and so on.

National socialism is patriarchal whereas communism is matriarchal, so by building up masculinism (normal in pre-modern society) and then feminism in response to masculinism, Satan has caused society and government to undergo a pendulum effect of going from one extreme (nazism) to the other (communism).

C) Weaken Men

Throughout history, the reformation of society and government has often depended on the state of balance between the unified civilian whole and the government. Individual citizens are incapable of resisting government control, but if they have the mentality of might/strength and unify, they can force

the government to reconsider its current policies or risk battling an insurrection. Thus, to ensure oppressive policies are not imposed on the greater whole of society, people must have a mentality of standing up for themselves and their neighbor, a mentality of courage to fight for what is right. Since men are on average the stronger sex, the responsibility falls upon them to keep the government in check.

Good government maintains order by its might. It has the power to overcome mischievous individuals and factions. However, when a government implements policies that oppress the whole, it upsets the greater majority of the nation and deserves to be replaced or reformed.

There are two critical ways of weakening male influence on the government:

- 1) Weaken male mentality.
- 2) Weaken male power (Disenfranchise men).

6-C-1) Weaken Male Mentality

If men have a mentality of softness, they are less likely to rise up in resistance to bad government, whether through direct force or through political influence. Thus, to maintain his evil government, it benefits Satan to design a society that generates men who are weak in body, mind, and spirit and thus unwilling to fight for the cause of justice.

Fighting the government does not need to involve actual combat. It can involve entering the political scene. Effeminate men, however, have little or no interest in leadership or are ineffective leaders even at the family level, thus putting politics beyond the scope of their abilities and enabling bad men to rule.

Weakening men can also generate the opposite effect: men who have been weak may seek to regain strength and influence to such an extreme that they become dangerous.

6-C-2) Weaken Male Power (Disenfranchise Men)

To be an influence in how they are governed, people need to have their daily needs taken care of including food and shelter. Thus, to remove their power, Satan needs to disenfranchise them, taking away their jobs (which provide for their daily needs) and positions of power, giving them to Satan's preferred parties. However, Satan can't disenfranchise everyone. Someone has to get the better. So Satan disenfranchises the majority ("whites") and God's designated leaders of society ("men"). As minorities gain power, they are more likely to support the movements (Satan's Progressivism) that support them.

D) Enhance Military Power

To prevent people from resisting the government's influence over their lives, it is necessary to create an advanced military whose might can crush any and all resistance. First world countries have dangerously powerful militaries and technology including weapons that can wipe out large portions of

the population. More importantly, a modern military has advanced coordination for its forces meant for fighting other nations but which could easily be turned inwards against their own national populous.

The old adage of modern democratic ideals is that power is derived from the people. This serves to shape the mentality of the populous. However, true power of the government is derived from its military capabilities and leadership.

E) Give the Illusion that Modern Forms of Government are “Progress”

“Modern” forms of government have roots in antiquity, but because “first-world” countries have them, the progress of human technology has become associated with the current forms of government and of societies at large. The illusion of human progress perpetuates the mentality of brokenness necessary for Satan to maintain the advancement of his agenda. The label of “progress” justifies whatever form of government (however good or evil) that current government evolves into.

Communists want their form of government to be the next step in the chain. If it is, they can then justify their labeling of it as “progress”.

7) Get Technology to Replace Humanity

Technology is a form of power, but that same power can be turned against mankind. Technology removes a certain level of burden from human activity. However, advanced technology can be used to remove the burden completely. This does a number of things:

- Man becomes lazy, reliant on technology to do his work.
- Human worth declines because man cannot offer to society what machines cannot.
- Man becomes controlled by technology.

In all of these areas, man becomes unable to care for himself because technology has taken his job. This presents a problem for each new generation. As generations pass, people become incapable of dealing with life without technology. This is very bad for when technology will be unavailable or unfixable, resulting in human starvation and death. One day, the technology bubble will indeed collapse because each generation is less capable than the previous at learning and developing it. Satan is destroying truth in schools, making basic prerequisites for tech such as math, harder, thus leading to ultimate disaster.

Technology itself does not fit into the plot of Satan we are currently analyzing. It is a mostly separate plot with hooks into this one. The primary hook is that technology steals human occupation, thus removing both a dimension of human (especially male) purpose and ability to care for self.

Some technology is good because it has made life easier, people more productive, and allowed for greater distribution of goods, time, and other resources. However, excessively powerful technology (such as artificial intelligence) is bad because it creates both imbalance and a vector of power that evil people can manipulate.

Satan's Tactics

A full exposition on Satan's tactics would require diving into the Complete Book of Satanism, which at the current time is unwritten. Nevertheless, there are some common tactics Satan employs and teaches his followers to employ so as to accomplish his objectives. Here are some examples:

- Fight and suppress logical dialogue and debate. Logical dialogue and debate can be done reasonably and in a way where people grow as philosophers and learn something new. Therefore, it is contrary to Satanic interests to allow it. Suppression of dialogue can be done in the following common ways:
 - Shouting down opponents. Ignoring is not sufficient because it allows good people to continue sharing the truth. Instead, Satan wants his followers to prevent the truth from even being heard much less being compared to the lies.
 - Banning opponents from speaking. This is a very common tactic employed in numerous ways from simply excluding public speakers to banning social media accounts. This creates conflict (regardless of who does it) because the other side feels bitter for not being heard.
- Use logical fallacies. Sound logic often leads to the truth, therefore sound logic is the domain of God and Satan must contradict it. Logic can be readily twisted to becoming false, so Satan carefully inserts false premises into arguments in order to pollute logic. Here are some examples:
 - Employ ad hominem. “Ad hominem” is a logical fallacy meaning “attack the man”. It means attacking your opponent's argument by attacking his personal credibility, which has nothing to do with the credibility of the argument. In modern times, this attack is usually employed hand-in-hand with blaming others for extremism. The association with extremism supposedly discredits an argument. For example, a woman calling a man “misogynist” (“woman hater”) is frequently employed to discredit the man's arguments against feminism.
 - Employ major and minor premise fallacy. In this case, the logical fallacy is the underlying presumption. For example, one city mayor blamed suburban family housing zoning for causing racial inequality in the city. There are many false premises in this conclusion. One is that minority races are somehow excluded from buying suburban family housing, and another is that the zoning wasn't indicative of actual housing demand.
- Use good-sounding labels/titles/slogans/mantras to hide sinister ideas and bad implementations. This is perhaps the most influential of all tactics. People are readily deceived by labels. There are many, many examples. For example:
 - U.S. “racial” training courses for the army were actually disguised programs for promoting unpatriotic mentalities.
 - “Sex education” courses in public schools were actually a cover for teaching children about

inappropriate sexual content.

- Political campaigns of “Hope and Change” and “Make America Great Again” were covers for increasing government power (the former for medical and the latter for military).
- Employ sock-puppets (real or imaginary) to create extremism (and thus polarization). Extremism is the opposite of balance, which brings order and peace. Sock-puppets are, for example, fake extremists set up to get one side to believe the other is extremist, thereby justifying extremist attacks from the first side. This extremism by the first side is then retaliated by the other side with backlash that *appears* extremist (because it must respond to the extremism of the first side), thereby creating a self-fulfilling prophecy and generating strife.
 - For example, white supremacist racism was a liberal boogie man used to justify racism against fair-skinned (“white”) people, which is equally wrong. Even people who merely believe in supporting whites are redepicted as white supremacists by the liberal media to justify extremist racism against whites.
- Create fear. Fear can motivate people or dissuade them. In an evil society, it has the greatest influence. All that is needed to convince people to do something is by making them afraid of the consequences. Fear can be easily generated with lies, which are Satan's specialty.
- Get people away from standards. Standards guide human life, enable language, and enable learning. They give us markers to tell us where we are and where we need to go to get where we want to be. Language and math are standards. Standards are necessary for human progress. Therefore, to stop human progress Satan teaches people to create anti-standards and embrace relativism of many forms.

Deception is very key to all of Satan's undertakings. However, deception happens on the level of the individual, and since individuals vary broadly in how they think, it is difficult to speak of the attacks as a universal mentality. People pick and choose which deceptions suit their interests and believe in those. Satan is striving for everyone to believe in a universal mentality, but it is only unified in its opposition to the truth, not around any core set of ideas.

We can see this in the propaganda. For example, using abortion propaganda, human babies are dehumanized so as to make it seem moral to terminate their lives. The propaganda calls them “fetus” or “watermelon” or “blob of cells” or many such things, and Satan has no preference for which of these things people believe so long as their belief is contrary to the truth, thereby enabling evil.

The Voice

Language is the most important means by which we communicate. Control over language determines what and how people think because people have a difficult time discussing what they don't have a word for.

The channels of communication are very essential to controlling language. These channels control what is said, the vocabulary, what is discussed, and how things are described. This shapes the mentalities of the audience.

Channels of communication can be used for evil purposes, for deceit and lies, for propaganda, for telling a narrative that favors one side or another, for making people angry or polarized, for reinforcing popular opinions or promoting unpopular ones.

Whoever controls the most common channels of communication weilds immense power for shaping society, culture, and government.

The channels of communication include speech, books, newspapers, magazines, tapes, radio, television, video and audio files, and websites. Some are more commonly used than others. The most popular publishers are called the “mainstream media”. These people weild immense power because countless people hear their voices and have come to trust their opinion.

Historically, communication ranging from the Federalist Papers to the fireside chats of F.D.R. have shaped public opinion subtly and immensely.

To control public mentality, Satan has found the easiest way is to simply control its mainstream media, through which he can tell a narrative that paints society as extremist, thus inviting extremism as a response. Sensationalist stories speak about uncommon, unreasonable occurrences that attract and excite readers for their rarity. Hence, Satan has a constant stream of people who buy into the lies.

To control the mainstream media, Satan has encouraged his followers to “change the world” by either getting jobs in journalism or starting their own media companies. From their very conception, newspapers worldwide have had some degree of Satanic input.

Moreover, to control thought, Satan has endeavored to control language itself. Controlling communication channels is the first step because through them he can dictate the kinds of words, phrases, and expressions people use. Then he gets people to use language according to how they feel rather than textbook definitions, thereby removing meaning from words. Finally, he gets people to try to redefine words for usage by the general public so that the words become less meaningful to everyone.

A number of words in culture have been twisted by Satan to have new meanings. Words include “marriage” (the spiritual union of man and woman, now including any government contract between two people), “discrimination” (differentiating between two things, now describing “unjust” action against another party), and “welfare” (things for the well-being of a person, now referring to government programs to care for peoples' needs). The list of such words is very long.

The Pillars of the Satanic Plot

When we examine Satan's strategy, four key pillars emerge that form and support the entire framework. These pillars are interrelated and interconnected and thus all of them come with each other. A good analysis of modern history makes it evident that all four of these pillars are at work.

The pillars are as follows:

1. Progressivism
2. Communism
3. Gender Extremism
4. Disenfranchisement

1) Progressivism

Progressivism is a mentality that thinks humanity is advancing/evolving and should continue to “advance”/“progress”. But its target of progress is unspecified. It is subject to whim, but behind the scenes, it is directed by Satan. The key belief of progressivism is that “traditional”, ancient ways are somehow inferior (in part because they have known flaws but also because new ways are considered better by virtue of being unknown in quality). This is clearly a Satanic idea devised to move people away from time-tested, functional structures of society.

Progressivism in the social sense covers the technological and moral arenas, but in the broader sense it covers all of the other pillars.

In the name of evolutionary progress, Progressivism promotes unnatural human circumstances, anti-stereotypes, and labeled-“new” concepts including homosexuality, single-parent families, “human rights”, and democratic forms of government. All of these concepts are ancient, but to the Progressive, they are considered “new” and evolutionary.

2) Communism

Communism is also a mentality just as it is an economic pillar. Its core belief is not so much that people are irresponsible with possessions but that somehow government (ironically run by people) is *more* responsible and therefore ought to decide how resources are distributed. Notably, communism provides less incentive to work and be inventive because there is no reward for excessive performance, and thus society is less productive overall. However, what is most attractive to Satan is that resources are centralized and thus controlled by a handful of people whom Satan can influence. This allows Satan to deprive good people of needed resources by making them enemies of the state.

Communism exists in socialist systems under the title of “welfare”. Then when the government has full control of resources and full power over the economy, communism reaches full bloom and acquires the label of government responsibility.

3) Gender Extremism

Gender Extremism involves the strong polarization of the sexes in such a way that members of each sex (and their supporters who may be of the opposite sex) fight primarily for the interest of their own sex. Gender Extremism takes the forms of “feminism” and “masculinism” and breeds misogyny and misandry. Though one form can exist without the other for a time, existence of one always spawns a backlash that becomes the other. Satan uses this ping-pong effect to advance the cause of strong government over the course of modern history.

Gender Extremism has foundation in both good and evil, thereby making it an even concoction. The good is that people are concerned about certain issues pertaining to the interests of their sex. The bad is two things: 1) they consider *only* the interests of one sex and not those of the other and 2) they go to unreasonable measures to enforce their point of view.

Gender Extremism forms the basis of both conflict between the sexes and the feminization of men, both of which are so pivotal in Satan's designs for democracy and the destruction of the family.

4) Disenfranchisement

People have needs for food, clothing, and shelter. When these needs are not taken care of, people turn to the government for help and/or begin fighting with each other over such needs. Disenfranchisement removes the power of the people to provide for themselves by taking their homes, their jobs, and their social status. Further disenfranchisement steals their social and political influence and thus their voice in how they are treated and governed. This leads to either their weakening (if they willingly accept abuse) or to strife (if they do not accept abuse) and potentially to war (inviting strong government to solve the conflict). Thus, disenfranchisement as a pillar supports all of the other pillars and enables Satan's goals of either strong government or civil war.

To have the biggest impact, Satan has made a concerted effort to disenfranchise the *majority* of people and society's leaders (men). In the case of the West (America, Europe), this has been the “white” male. To foster such disenfranchisement, Satan has utilized the problem of racism, generating it first against “blacks”, and using the ping-pong effect to turn it against “whites”. “White” males have found themselves at a loss. In need of work and education, they find women and blacks are preferred first, not on the basis of merit (as should be the case), but on the basis of sexism (labeled “equal opportunity”) and racism (labeled “affirmative action”). Naturally, this has sparked anger and is leading to civil strife. We will see this play out as we analyze history.

Remarks

Under the label of “equality”, Satan has caused imbalance.

First, Satan causes economic imbalance, whereby the middleclass becomes impoverished and the rich and aristocracy gain excessive power. Underlying this, Satan gets people jealous of the wealthy and under the belief that somehow justice means all should be equally poor.

Second, Satan has caused imbalance between the interests of the two sexes. Underlying this, Satan gets Progressives (the Far Left) to believe that men and women are interchangeable and should therefore be equal *statistically* in all things rather than truly equal in *opportunity*, which is what they mistakenly believe they are arguing in favor of. Likewise, Satan gets the Far Right to believe that men and women are totally different and therefore should not be afforded the same opportunities.

In accordance with objective (O) of the overall objectives, each and every one of these pillars brings about imbalance and therefore deprives the world of peace. To counter them requires returning to a mentality of balance that brings harmony. But fighting for balance has been made an unpopular stance because it makes one appear opposed to both sides. Satan has made the world self-centered, and in so doing, has made it such that allegiance can only be found in total agreement and submission to the tenets of the extremist.

Just listen to the arguments of the day. "Either you are for us or against us!" Political parties have become hard-lined in their platforms, unwilling to accept deviation from their members or compromise.

Time

Satan's plot can only come to fruition over the course of time. It requires several generations, each of whom become progressively under his control. Time frees evil people to act more in accordance with their plans as the older generations that held them back die off.

As we examine history, take note of the fact that movements often have many spawns. They spawn first when people sense an injustice, but then the dominant generation often stomps it out until the generation that desires it comes to power. For example, this occurred with recreational drug usage, which found support in the 1960s and '70s and but did not gain a foothold until the 2010's.

New generations work for Satan's plan because children are fresh slates upon which "new" (old bad) ideas can be inscribed. To children, many old ideas can be made to seem fresh and new because the youth have no experience with them. Moreover, Satan voids them of a proper understanding of history by rewriting the history books, removing monuments and memories, and silencing those with memories. And finally, Satan complicates the matter by disguising his works within the vast framework of human activity. "The Devil is in the details." How are people to know the effects of, say, recreational drugs if some experience problems and some don't?

Devoid of historical knowledge and unable to see the big picture, youths embark on a journey to repeat the mistakes of the past. "Those who do not learn from history are doomed to repeat it."

Male vs Female

Satan's goals thrive by making society divided, getting people polarized. The two *directions* are “dominant masculine mentality” and dominant feminine mentality by virtue of their association with the sex that holds them. Later, we will see that some males have a “feminine” mentality and some women have a “masculine” mentality. Technically, everyone has a bit of both.

God designed these mentalities to be in harmony, thus creating a balanced society. When the mentalities are polarized, they create imbalance. Polarized masculine mentality is “masculinism”. Its focus is on caring primarily for male needs and wants without interest in female. Likewise, polarized feminine mentality is “feminism”, which comes in two flavors: whig feminism and sacral feminism.

Interestingly enough, the worst manifestations of government find their basis in masculinism and feminism. Masculinism is the basis for national socialism (nazism), in which the government models a selfish male. Feminism is the basis for communism, in which the government thinks like a selfish, fearful female. To understand these forms of government, it is necessary to first understand the predominant mentalities of men and women and then see how the governments compare.

Personality Types

Psychology has made great progress over the years thanks to men like Carl Jung. The advancements allowed understanding human mentality of each individual as a combination of several component mentalities. Katharine Briggs and Isabel Myers simplified Jung's approach, reducing mentalities (called “personalities”) to a combination of four components: introversion or extroversion, “intuition” and “sensing”, “thinking” (rationalizing) and “feeling” (emotive), and “perceiving” and “judging”. For example, a person with an ISTJ personality type is predominantly introverted, sensing, thinking, and judging. It is important to note that everyone has an auxiliary side which is the exact opposite of their dominant personality. So for a person of ISTJ personality, their auxiliary side would be ENFP (extroverted, intuitive, feeling, and perceiving).

Rather than explain this theory of psychology, we invite the audience to do their own research. For our purposes, the focus is on the third component: thinking (T) or feeling (F).

The subcultures of masculinity and femininity – that is, what men consider “manly” or “masculine” and what women consider “feminine” – are determined by the majority mentalities of men and women. Thus, to understand the tilt of the subcultures, we need to look at the statistical representation of the personality types by gender.

Of the personality types that men possess, the dominant ones are ISTJ (16.4%), ESTJ (11.2%), ISTP (8.5%), and ISFJ (8.1%). Of male personality types, 56.5% are Thinker types. This means the slant of male thinking will be towards rationality. Moreover, since the dominant types are ISTJ and ESTJ, male subculture will resemble ISTJs and ESTJs, who are very loyal (and consequently very nationalistic/patriotic), very dutiful, and tend to value strength over intelligence.

Of the personality types that women possess, the dominant ones are ISFJ (19.4%), ESFJ (16.9%), ESFP (10.1%), ISFP (9.9%), and ENFP (9.7%). Of female personality types, a whopping 75.5% are Feeler types. Thus, the slant of female thinking will be towards feeling and emotion. Moreover, since the dominant types are ISFJ and ESFJ, female subculture defaults to resembling ISFJs and ESFJs, who are very loyal, value beauty/appearance, and are very communal (and thus very welcoming and very tolerant).

The dominant personality types determine the gender stereotypes for men and women. But this is not by accident. God designed male subculture to lean towards thinking and female subculture to lean towards feeling. This allows for the two to balance each other out in relationships.

In fact, it turns out that even males with Feeling personalities still lean towards Thinking, and females with Thinking personalities still lean towards Feeling. Again, God designed this such that men and woman fall into their proper subcultures.

However, God also designed a number of people who don't fit the subculture. For example, there are women with ENTJ personalities and men with ISFJ personalities. These are the exceptions, however, they bring about balance within their respective gender subcultures, so they are necessary.

In attempting to disrupt social and gender balance, Satan has made such exceptions feel out of place. The dominant female mentality wants to accommodate such exceptions even at the cost of gender roles whereas the dominant male mentality wants to enforce rigid gender stereotypes. Both extremes are wrong in themselves, but in healthy societies, they balance each other out.

In a healthy society, there will exist gender roles but there will also be some degree of flexibility. The gender roles give everyone a place and a standard by which they can orient themselves, and the flexibility allows them to better fit in.

Sadly, history has few if any examples of a healthy society. Because men are historically the dominant sex, males have usually dictated how society functions and abused their power. It was common in ancient societies for women to be treated as property. Without the modern lens distorting our perspective, this is not entirely bad, but it is also not the ideal, which involves equal dignity of the sexes. Contrary to common modern belief, however, there were a number of woman in various societies throughout history who held power and influence over society.

The Dominant Male and Female Mentalities

The idea of “masculinity” has been shaped primarily by the predominant personality types of men. Likewise, the idea of “femininity” has been shaped primarily by the predominant personality types of women. For both, there are certain attributes and virtues associated with one sex or the other, and for many virtues, one sex is associated with the opposite virtue as the other sex. These attributes and virtues define what is considered “masculine” and what is considered “feminine”. Though it is possible for a person to strive for all of them, masculinity and femininity determine which virtues they are supposed to prioritize.

Masculine virtues include loyalty, duty, strength, courage and bravery, honor and dignity. From loyalty comes devotion to supporting one's family, friends, and nation. From duty and bravery comes warrior mentality and willingness to be in the army.

Men think about protecting their loved ones and friends. For them, the world is a potential enemy. This mentality is tribal-minded, group-think, “us versus them”.

More importantly, the world is very black and white, good and bad. There are many perils that should be avoided or overcome. Courage, strength, and loyalty help overcome these perils.

Since most men are straight-forward and simple minded, not great at multitasking, they prefer simple lifestyles. For them, society is best when they can utilize their strength to accomplish the tasks of a simple life.

It is important for men that they be the providers of the family and thus the world must provide them with opportunity. Either the economy must be capitalist, as is historically the case, or at least give them employment so they can feed their families.

Men lean towards their rational side, so their speech is very logical, concrete, and to the point. They are motivated by speech that follows this line.

Men are disciplinarians. Discipline allows them to build strength, courage, and honor, and allows them to complete their goals. “Being a man” is equated with being capable to take on the world and do what needs to be done, and for that, men need discipline.

Conversely, femininity has been historically associated with some of the opposite qualities. It is considered feminine to be cowardly, weak, undisciplined, and poor at negotiating. Of course throughout most of history, women could have such qualities without at all hampering their way of life. Until modern times, it wasn't necessary to be like men.

Feminine virtues include open-mindedness, tolerance, being empathetic, being caring and compassionate and welcoming, tending to the needs of others, being concerned with the outcast. Most of all, they include being beautiful in body, speech, and mannerisms. At their best, feminine virtues add a layer of civility to society.

Women think about caring for their loved ones and nurturing children. This requires an emotional tilt in their mentality because care requires a measure of empathy. The empathy is extended towards minorities, the “oppressed”, the downtrodden, and the outlier, who all could benefit from a woman's help.

Contrary to men, women are more open to the world. Rather than seeing the world as a potential enemy, they see other people as an opportunity for friendship.

Women are very forgiving. This helps them build relationships – a female goal – by avoiding strife. In a related way, women are very open-minded and tolerant. They are willing to accept differences and disagreements for the sake of friendship and surface-level harmony.

As “feelers”, women tend to be dictated by their emotions. They speak in a way that appeals to the

emotions and conveys their emotions. Thus, their speech tends to be more roundabout.

Both masculinity and femininity have excellent virtues, but they must exist in balance. Excess of one or the other leads to problems. For example, women tend to be open, but they might invite in enemies who will destroy the family. Men are very protective, but in being too protective, they shield their families from would-be friends.

Masculinism and Feminism

When taken to their extremes, masculine and feminine virtues give rise to the awful, self-centered polarizations called “masculinism” and “feminism”. The existence of either polarization will, over time, give rise to the other. Masculinism and feminism are the worst of what masculinity and femininity can become. Masculinism is like an over domineering male. Feminism is like a whiny female. Their qualities are as follows:

Masculinism

The protective, loyalty virtues become intense tribalism, nationalism, and excessive patriotism. The doors are closed to the world and the community becomes isolated. The tribalism leads to further divisioning of society, racism, attacks based on discrimination, and ethnic cleansing.

The need to provide for the family leads to scenarios in which the man feels totally at the helm. The ideal scenario for man is thus an agrarian society, mostly primitive, devoid of any advanced technology. The market is “free” (capitalistic) and resources are allotted by merit, but each man is entitled to overprotection of his input into society (patents, copyrights, trademarks) rather than societal, cultural, and national growth being fostered.

Speech is a tool for trade and thus becomes “intellectual property”.

The disciplinarian mentality leads to domineering, nitpicky police forces and harsh punishments for violations and crimes. The death penalty and maiming become standard.

Some of the demands of masculinism are as follows:

- Return society to agrarian but don't lose current technology.
- All power (political, social, and economic) should belong exclusively to men.
- The justice system should favor men over women.
- Speech should be unbridled and unlimited.
- Society should tend to the needs, wants, cares, and concerns of its major demographics.
- Eliminate or exile those who the majority perceives as negatively impacting them. For a number of reasons, this is usually this is the Jews.

Unbridled speech includes insults, slang, mudslinging, and anything uncouth.

Feminism

The open-minded, tolerant mentality and the empathy towards the outlier (and minority) leads towards tolerance of bad ideas, wickedness, and perversions in every area of society.

The welcoming mentality leads to globalism, open-borders, and alliances with nations that aim to destroy the nation or empty its coffers.

The nurturing mentality turns into welfare programs, government bailouts, food stamps, and excessive spending to the point where the government cannot balance its budget.

Speech is emotion-based and becomes ambiguous, subject to whim, and redefinable whenever beneficial in getting one's way.

The demands of feminism include the following:

- Speech should be “politically correct”, defined as whatever pleases women and minorities, is inclusive and not “offensive” to them, and supports feminist ideas.
- Women should be allowed to do whatever they want, including traditionally male roles. There should be no gender roles or stereotypes.
- The justice system should favor women over men.
- Society should prioritize the needs, wants, cares, and concerns of women and minorities.

There are two kinds of feminism: whig feminism and sacral feminism.

Whig feminism is born out of jealousy of men. Whig feminism rhetorically asks why women can't do what men do and vice versa. It wants women to be able to do male jobs (in the workforce) and men to do female jobs (home chores) (so women can chase their dreams). It promotes “female empowerment”, but to empower women, it seeks to disenfranchise men, thus it requires weak men willing to stand aside and let women take the lead. *Whig feminism wants statistical equality*, believing somehow that an equal percentage of male and female representation in all areas of society equates to both true equality and happiness. This falsely assumes women statistically want to do the same things as men. Moreover, whig feminism holds to the false belief that men and women are interchangeable, so it seeks to enforce that, blurring the lines between the genders wherever it can (e.g. by promoting sex changes).

Sacral feminists disagree with whig feminists. They too believe women should be empowered, but they believe women should be able to choose whatever they wish, including traditionally female roles and occupations. The key problem with sacral feminists is that they generally believe women are superior to men in key respects (bodily appearance and intelligence). They glorify the woman and believe for this reason women should receive special benefits of society.

Similarities

Masculinism and feminism both focus the benefits of society entirely on one sex or the other, and thus they are imbalanced. They are both narrow-minded polarizations. Being polarizations, both

masculinism and feminism are evil. Being evil, they are both create highly suspicious people who are quick to make enemies with anyone who disagrees with them.

Both masculinism and feminism are so extreme that their adherents refuse to compromise.

Comparison of Governments

Now that we've analyzed masculinism and feminism, we can see how each of them corresponds with their government implementations. Masculinism as a government is national socialism (nazism). Feminism as a government is communism. We can observe this by looking at attributes of historic implementations of both. Germany and the United States in the 1930s and '40s were national socialistic states (though their formation was long prior to that era), meanwhile Soviet Russia of that same era was communist.

National Socialism (Nazism)

The tribalist nature of masculinism is made manifest in extreme patriotism and pro-military. As a tribalist mentality, it needs a nationality or an ethnic group to consider an enemy. For Germany, this was both the Jews within the country's borders and the rest of Europe to whom Germany lost the previous war. For the United States, the enemies were Germany and Japan.

The need for men to provide for their families is satisfied by the state who provides them with work and protection for their invention (input into society) all the while not aiming to diminish the capitalistic system. In the United States, work came in the form of the Works Projects Administration, and protection for invention was more extensive patent protections. In Germany, work came in the form of rebuilding the military.

The disciplinarian mentality leads to the formation of multiple layers of police force, ranging from FBI to NSA in the US to the Gestapo in Germany. These forces are used to stomp out communism, which is rightfully viewed as anti-masculinism.

The leadership of the nation must be a strong-willed, assertive male, whose personality and command often leads to dictator-like control in practice even if not in title. Hitler siezed complete power in Germany, and Franklin D. Roosevelt implemented numerous plans of his through clever executive moves and sneaky legislature.

The leadership maintains power by appealing to people's rational, pro-nationalistic mentality through propaganda including grand speeches, positive war-films, and national broadcasts about national successes (which may be lies or at least not perfectly honest). The nation is always depicted in a positive light, whereas other nations are painted as enemies.

Stereotypes, generalities, and majorities divide the nation into classes of people. The major demographic is seen as superior to all the others. For Germany, this was the "arian race". Ethnic groups that are perceived as hurting the superior race can be exterminated without troubling conscience.

The justice system is biased towards men belonging to the major demographic.

The government is seen as “daddy” or “big brother”. Consequently, the country is called the “fatherland”, as Germany was.

Communism

The open, welcoming nature of feminism is made manifest in several ways. First, *initially*, borders are opened to neighboring countries. The citizens view themselves as belonging to a global village. Once communism takes full control, it closes its doors to protect itself from the “pollution” of ideas regarding freedom and liberty. It wants full control.

As an open nation, it is very inclusive, swallowing as many people as it can before closing off, diluting the majority to steal its power. It accepts every race, every ethnic group, and every demographic. But rather than celebrating their differences, it forces conformity to a single, homogenous standard. In this way, no one can complain about having less advantage. All are treated equally. “Every one is equal”, and no one is to have any unique identity. Therefore, identity that comes from sex, race, ethnicity, background, or even height or weight must all be removed so that all are equal, no matter how miserable the final condition.

Empathy lies at the basis of this equality. There is equal treatment of all by the government. In its nurturing and empathetic manner, the state provides everyone with resources for free in the form of welfare programs, food stamps, free handouts, and free education. The government is considered “mommy”, and likewise the country is labeled the “motherland” as Russia was.

Like an evil woman, a communist government is extremely suspicious, so it creates its own secret police. For Russia, this was the KGB. Its aim is first to stamp out masculinism and second to stamp out any possibility of freedom. It must maintain tight control over people in order to force conformity.

As a government, it does not survive on propaganda. It only forces it because it can. Instead, it speaks to emotions; it rules by fear. It knows people don't like fear and will try to escape, so it walls people in.

Speech in general is emotional and ambiguous. *It is essential that communists control language* so that people can say nothing but “newspeak” (limited to communist mentality) or punishable lingo.

The justice system is initially biased towards women and minorities and vocal groups, but over time it degrades to no justice at all.

All that matters is whatever preserves the state and its doctrines. Human life is considered worthless, only useful as a slave. Dissenters are not exiled, they are forced into labor camps or executed.

Overlap

National socialism and communism have many similarities. Consequently, socialism is considered the halfway house between an authentic republic and a communist state. Both promote big government, implement some kind of welfare programs, build strong militaries, and have secret police. Both need tight control over the ideas people share and thus the mainstream media is a key tool for both. Because of persecution, both have swarms of people fleeing from them.

Mantras, logos, slogans and banners invite and foster support for both socialist and communist causes, especially as movements before seizing power. These things act as soundless rallying cries that call adherents to action, to combat, and to war, and are thus more frequently employed once movements have gained steam. Both national socialism and communism call for war in the name of peace.

The similarities between them also play into the pendulum effect. A republic doesn't transition into a communist government without first experiencing the extreme of a national socialist government. Likewise, a communist government does not easily form a republic after it collapses. Instead, it becomes national socialistic, as was the case with Russia after the fall of the USSR.

On its own, national socialism is more stable than communism, but like communism, it eventually collapses due to its own extremism. For Nazi Germany, the government had little choice but to satisfy the public thirst for vengeance and embark on a campaign to conquer Europe. For the United States of America, the extremism of masculinism gave root to the feminism that is progressively leading to its demise.

Monarchy

To simultaneously have the primary ruler be a man and balance the interests of both men and women, it is necessary that a complete, functional, and moral family rule a nation. This is a monarchy.

Government, regardless of its form, reflects the state and quality of its families. A nation of broken families will result in a broken government. However, if a nation contains healthy, complete families adhering to God's commands, then the highest family of the land will reflect that, albeit there is some variance due to the corrupting nature of power.

Historically, man has problems. This will never cease until the end of time. Thus, monarchies will have problems. However, monarchies are the most stable of all governments, some lasting thousands of years, interrupted by occasional civil war when power inheritance wasn't dealt with correctly. By comparison, republics have a lifespan of roughly a hundred and fifty years and quickly decay into a stronger form of government. Civil war is inevitable for a republic.

One of the keys that makes monarchies stable is that politics is not a primary concern of the people. Having little or no say in their governance, people are usually content to operate under the laws made by the authorities until such laws become such a grievance that they are intolerable (as was the case with the laws of King George III over the American colonies).

Monarchies are prime for abuse just as all governments are. However, abusive parties – unless the supreme monarch or his right hand man – are limited in their power and ability to affect the nation at large.

King-led monarchies have a slight slant towards masculine mentality, and thus they share some characteristics with national socialist states. For example, banners and logos provide rallying points. Men are impressed into the army, but the public doesn't see it as a good or bad thing. Propaganda is expected, serving to boost the king's image, but it serves primarily to dissuade uprisings rather than garner support.

Relations with other nations are neither completely globalist in approach nor isolationist. They are often handled with intermarriage, making the ordeal a family affair.

The state has police, especially special forces for the protection of the royal family. However, there is no need for secret police. History attests that most monarchies never had them.

Leaning towards reason, monarchies tend to expect their citizens to be self-sufficient, otherwise the nation goes bankrupt. Unlike socialistic nations, monarchies tend to be more concerned with budgets and keeping money in the country's coffers. Thus, capitalism exists in some form, but monarchs have the power to make rules to solve whatever economic quibbles may arise. Welfare programs are a possibility yet their application is subject to discretion of the royalty.

Monarchies are interested in rich economies, which translates to good tax base. Thus they care both about labor/unemployment and technological advances. Technology can both create jobs and steal them, which is a concern of a king. Democratic-style governments and communism, by contrast, continue to allow tech to advance beyond reasonable limits as long as it benefits the parties responsible for advancement or the state.

Socialistic states can decay into dictatorships, but dictatorships are not the same as monarchies. A dictator – or tyrant – has unlimited power. A monarch may be limited by some pact (e.g. the Magna Carta), but more importantly, they share power with a number of other people, notably the members of their family. These other people may contradict or overrule the monarch depending on their status (such as the queen overruling a prince).

Monarchies take on a flavor depending on who is the supreme authority (king or queen). A healthy monarchy will have a married king and queen.

Republic

A republic is dictated by the whims of the aristocracy who may or may not support adhere to the demands of their constituents. Like monarchies, socialist states, and communist states, republics have an element of oligarchy to them. Unlike oligarchies, people supposedly can vote out office holders and elect someone new. The integrity of the elections depends on the integrity of those in power counting the votes. Unfortunately, as people become polarized, election fraud becomes inevitable.

Republics are neither masculine nor feminine; they are both. Republics take on the mentality of their constituents, and thus they have the fickle nature of mob mentality. Republics decay quickly as people fight over what the state's responsibility should be. The very structure of the government, by asking people what they want to vote for, invites people to be self-centered. Special interest groups and lobbyists are rewarded, thus inspiring people to join them and take hard-line, uncompromising stances. In effort to gain power, politicians aim to lead such special interest groups and movements. For every movement, there becomes a counter-movement, two options. All intermediate and middle options are crushed for being too weak in their demands. The recipe of republics breeds polarization and lays the groundwork for civil war. Over the long haul, republics end up being the worst form of government ever conceived by man.

History

To understand how Satan is accomplishing his goals, it is helpful to look at history from multiple angles: the spiritual, the social-cultural, and the political. The histories of each of these are complex and intertwined. Rather than focusing on and getting lost in the specific details, it is helpful to look at handful of specific events, analyze overall historic trends, and compare the past information we have presented with historic implementation.

Spiritual History

One of Satan's primary goals is to discredit the church. Part of this is because the church, being connected with Truth Himself, has a foretelling element that can see Satan's plans before they happen.

There exists a rumor that in 1896, Pope Leo XIII overheard the voice of Satan telling God he could destroy the church in 75-100 years if given more control over the people who would give themselves over to his service. God is said to have granted the request, knowing Satan would fail, but nevertheless it indicated a very dark time in church history was coming.

Pope Leo XIII was very aware of the brewing trouble. In his encyclical *Humanum Genus*, he wrote about the rising of the Freemasons – Satanists – who were infiltrating the public school system and brainwashing children. Freemasonry had been around since the early days of the American republic and had some measure of political influence, including Freemason president Andrew Jackson. However, its foothold in America was not firm because of the Bible-thumping Protestants. It would get the chance to disrupt Christian faith significantly with the introduction of the Theory of Evolution by Charles Darwin in 1868. Thereafter, Freemasons and proponents of the theory taught it in schools, even against the law, and eventually won the battle of convincing young minds about the theory. While the theory itself was of little importance spiritually, it had a profound impact in shaking up the Protestant world because of its disagreement with the literalistic interpretation of the book of Genesis.

Many Protestants based their faith on literalistic interpretations of Scripture. This came from the founder of Protestantism, Martin Luther, whose literal interpretation of the book of Romans enabled a broad definition of salvation, independent of the church and the need for payable services. During the time of Martin Luther, the Catholic Church had been guilty of monetary swindling – a problem that continues to plague the Catholic Church. The Church was asking people to buy indulgences for the sake of salvation. Sick of preachers pushing the issue from the pulpit, the monk Luther decided people didn't need indulgences or even the church. But as his movement gained steam and his theology began reaching its logical conclusions, he began to take more liberties. Luther's theology enabled people to become their own pope and decide for themselves what they believed Scripture said to them. Untrained in exegetical practices and Biblical history, many people simply took a literalistic interpretation.

The reinterpretation of Scripture opened the doors to the forbidden. The greatest accomplishment of Satan's at the time was the empowerment Luther gave King Henry VIII to divorce his wife, an act forbidden by the Catholic Church. Divorce in the royal family was followed by divorce in common

families. Henry VIII took the opportunity to rid himself entirely of the Catholic Church in England and make Protestantism the national religion. What followed was years of civil war and strife that put a bitter taste in the Englishman's mouth when it came to the unity of church and state. Thereafter, Englishmen believed that church and state should be separate, and Satan won a great victory. Englishmen would carry their new sentiment with them as they moved to the colonies of America.

Separation of church and state took away the divine right of kings as well as the moral obligation of the king to God's laws. By the time of King George III, moral obligation to church teaching by the monarchy had entirely evaporated, and the situation was ripe for abuse. At the same time, the divine right of kings was replaced by the Enlightenment mentality that power derived from the people.

Satan became busy shaping the mentalities of the leaders of the new nation, but while he could convince them of his designs for government, he could not remove the Christian foundation without the passing of successive generations and the opportunities to brainwash them with his ideas. Therefore, the public school system was essential to Satan's plans because it enabled mostly unmonitored dissemination of his teachings. To this day, in most classrooms, teachers still teach whatever they want and are only caught if some student reports on them.

Public education was still rare in developing America and other factors for Satan's plan were still in development, thus Satan's plans for eradicating the church had to develop slowly. The time was ripe after the industrial revolution because the world was more connected, ideas were more readily shared through books and libraries, and Satan's minions had a world stage to stand upon.

The Catholic Church could see what was coming. In relation to society, Pope Paul VI wrote about the dangers of contraception and how it would destroy the family. In relation to politics, a vision of Mary appeared to children at Fatima in 1917 and warned that if the bishops of Russia did not consecrate the nation to her sacred heart, Russia would spread its errors throughout the world. The error of Russia was communism/liberalism/progressivism/feminism, which spread to all the nations behind the iron curtain, to China, to North Korea, to Vietnam, and to Cuba. To this day, the bishops have still not done as Our Lady of Fatima commanded, and so communism continues to spread.

But even though the Catholic Church was aware of the coming problems, it had lost its strength in both Europe and America. The majority of people, "white" Protestants, ignored the voice of the Church and its warnings.

To address the modern evolution of society and technology, the Catholic Church magisterium decided it needed to convene. Thus commenced the Second Vatican Council in 1962. What began as mere trivial changes and modernization of interpretations, such as mass procedures, was reinterpreted as outright change in doctrine and victories of liberalism.

Unbeknown to the Church, Satan had been progressively inserting warped-minded men into its ranks, especially that of the clergy. By the 1960s, the church had been infested by liberals who had grown up on the public education given by the Freemasons early in the century. Not only was the disseminated interpretation of church instruction affected but so was the church's integrity. In the latter area, the Church began to suffer from sexual abuse scandals, many of which would lay dormant until the time

Satan would benefit from publicly exposing them. How such men entered depended on a number of factors. One factor was the constant encouragement of men to enter the priesthood without allotting them proper time or advice for discernment. Numerous men entered without control over their sexual appetites. This would come out in their conduct (notably homosexuality and child sexual abuse) and their instruction to their congregations and students.

Modernism caught the church off guard. Modernism in part was born because of technological advancement, which brought new means of communication (such as radio) and made people more aware of their social, political, and global responsibilities. At the same time, the collapses of republics into socialist states brought about new mentalities. The church was invited to reinterpret its own doctrines in light of social and political changes. To that end, the church would even begin to use some of the vernacular, such as “right”, to describe and address moral responsibility.

Underneath modernism was progressivism, and it was winning the battle with the Catholic Church merely by leaving it in the dust. Under the disguise of being “new”, progressivism was teaching people they needed to rethink how family, society, government, and even the church were structured. The Church refused to change, but progressives have never given up hope that eventually it will.

The key to the battle was not so much speed of Satan's attack as it was the illusion of national progress, riding on the progress of invention, and the public dissemination of “new” ideas. People of the early 20th century felt like they were living in a new world. They were reading works about new science – biology, eugenics, psychology – that would force them to rethink of how they saw themselves and society.

In the realm of biology, the Theory of Evolution laid the groundwork for the devaluing of the human body. If all creatures, ending with humans, evolved from simple cells, then there was nothing special – and therefore precious – about human life. This argument, in combination with early masculinism, led to the belief that there should be one superior race in whom all resources and government assistance should be invested. Human beings of other races were considered worthless. This reasoning was called eugenics, and it began to shape public mentality at the dawn of the 20th century.

By controlling schools and convincing scientific minds of this new science, Satan began winning the battle with the Church over science. Because of its initial resistance to evolution, the Protestant Church – and by extension, the Church at large – was depicted as being the enemy of progress in science. This would carry on into things like cloning, embryonic stem cell research, and contraception, for which the Church appeared to be an unwanted damper on human progress and choice.

In relation to that, one of the biggest keys for Satan was the association of “freedom” (excessive liberty) and “progress”. Democratic governments had been formed on the Freemason position that power derives from the people, not God. People naturally want liberty, liberty to do good and evil. “Freedom” had been enshrined in the Constitution of the USA as a fundamental right, and over time, people began to believe it all the more seriously. It became the mantra of armies to “free” those enslaved in non-democratic-style governments. It became the goal of every citizen to promote and protect “liberty and justice for all”. Liberty has been praised and honored in books, songs, radio

broadcasts, films, and all public media to the point where people believe it is normal to have liberty and intolerable to live without it.

The mentality of freedom made people want to remove from themselves the burden of morality. In people's minds, Satan depicted morality as being contrary to the ideals of freedom that had "made America great" and as "outdated" in what they address. "The church doesn't understand your *modern* situation," he argues.

It is no accident that moral relativism, a toy of philosophers for many centuries, had renewed interest in the 20th century and was propagated by Freemasons. Moral relativism found a number of footholds, including association with the Theory of Relativity by Einstein which has little to do with it.

Finally, as the modern world has progressed, Satan has used the support the Church has for both men and women to transform the Church into a political vehicle for both the political left and right, thereby creating mixed messages to both the layman and the outsider.

The Catholic Church is now in the midst of an identity crisis. It is unable to decide whether it should embrace modernism and risk losing its valuable historic features or return to old traditions and risk being considered "irrelevant". It is unable to decide how to support the concerns of both men and women in a world of the strong polarizations of masculinism and feminism. More importantly, it struggles just to teach its youth the basics of Christianity. Steeped so deeply in theology, its training has become too lofty. Even today young Catholics have a pathetic understandings of the basics of the faith and are thus Catholic in name only.

The Protestant Church took the way of trying to remain relevant, appealing to personal interest. As society has decayed, the Protestant Church has followed along, adopting the popular polarized political, social, and moral stances of the day.

The number of non-Christians has grown dramatically as people no longer see the Church – or even religion – as relevant to their lives. Satan is letting the church fade into oblivion.

Rather than try to defeat Christianity head on, Satan gradually turned society against Christian underpinnings, leaving behind names, titles, and "ethics". Christian authority has been replaced by everyone as their own pope, freed by moral relativism to decide what they believe is right and just. Christian morality has been repackaged as "ethics" and "humanitarianism", "universally understood" prescriptions, which Satan knows will eventually disappear with each passing generation. The Christian label remains; many people still calling themselves "Christian", even if they aren't. This leads to even more confusion about what Christianity truly represents.

It is important to note that Satan never turned society against Catholic Christianity. Rather, he turned it against Protestant Christianity, and by virtue of association, against the key components of Christianity. Protestantism proved to be a weaker target, one whose doctrines could be easily manipulated into justifying both injustices and political stances. Prior to the American Civil War, Protestants had used literal interpretation of Scripture to justify slavery. In World War 1, Protestantism was used to justify the killing of enemies. Protestantism's political malleability made it the perfect tool to kill itself. In

addition, Protestantism was easily made to appear unscientific and thus disproved “as a whole”. Other tenets of Christianity, including the existence of Jesus and the occurrence of His resurrection, were subject to scrutiny with the rest of the Protestant package.

Peculiarly enough, the spiritual battle of Satan against the church was dictated primarily by a socio-political campaign by Satan. The majority of people in both America and Europe were Protestant. If Satan could turn society against its major demographics, then by default, he could turn it against Protestantism and, by extension, Christianity. It turns out, that's just what he did.

National History

Civil War

Dictated by Enlightenment philosophy, the Founders of America wrote that man was entitled to “life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness”. Only the first of the three has a Christian basis. The other two are mere desire of man. The Founding Fathers aimed at creating a government with democratic elements, knowing full well that such a government would not stand the test of time. Thomas Jefferson even admitted that there should be a revolution every 20 years. What they did not count on was the desire of most people to remain under a stable government.

Many holes in the Constitution were deliberately left unfilled. The Founders couldn't agree on how to fill them and instead left them for future generations to fill. One of the critical holes was the extent of federal supremacy. The Founders were aware of the problem, having had to resort to the Constitution due to the weakness of the Articles of Confederation. But Congress nevertheless remained divided between those who wanted strong government and those who wanted a weak collection of states.

By the mid 1800s, the problem had still remained unresolved and became a national point of contention. The question was, should states be supreme and able to secede the union or not? The Constitution provided no mechanisms for state secession. The reasons for secession were irrelevant. What mattered was state power. Even today in the 2020s, that question is resurfacing.

The argument finally exploded into civil war. In the end, the Union was victorious and the federal government reigned supreme. Those writing the history books claimed the war was fought over the issue of slavery, but that stance of the union wasn't taken until 1863 when the war was already half over. Those who chose to fight in the war knew it was about states' rights.

What had spawned the Civil War in the 1860s is the same thing that is causing the spawning of a new civil war: the democratic element to government. With many voices in government, people rallied to the parties that agreed with them. The result was polarization, partly because of the *apparent* dichotomy at hand: either a state was supreme or it wasn't. No middle ground was found, or if it was, it did not satisfy the interests of either of the majority parties.

The costliness of the resolution was Satan's dream, a civil war in which hundreds of thousands of lives were lost. The entire war could have been completely avoided had the appropriate provisions been made in the Constitution, but by Satan's intention, they were not.

Emancipation was a tool for Abraham Lincoln. It gave the war a moral dimension and justified the Union's actions beyond merely fighting "to preserve the Union". Second, it encouraged slaves to defy their masters and fight for the union, and it enabled abolitionists to defy slaveholders legally.

Lincoln could have never foreseen the consequences of his actions nor of the 15th Amendment to the Constitution.

Racism

In the late 18th century, most of Europe – and by extension, the American colonies – had been leaning towards a masculine mentality. Eastern Europe had remained under monarchies, but the West was steadily growing tired of them. The French Revolution brought the abrupt end of the monarchy in France but resulted in a bloodbath. Democracy was the new train of thought, and though the French Revolution didn't end in a democracy immediately, it began the process towards one.

Even with democracy in the air, the mentality nevertheless was that men – namely men of the major demographic – should rule. This mentality would stubbornly remain for the next two centuries. For the United States, the mentality worsened after the Civil War. Even though emancipation had freed black slaves, most Americans – north or south – refused to accept equality with blacks and found all the more reason to view themselves as the superior race. Racism remained late into the 1970s and served as one of the primary indicators of masculinism. There was a brief period of time – during the world wars – in which whites and blacks found mutual support and companionship as they fought for the same side, but this was because the tribalist mentality had turned patriotic and attacked outward against the Germans and Japanese. Once the wars had ended, masculinism returned to facing inward.

Racism came to the forefront in the 1960s. On the good side, it was Americans of African descent inspired by Christian values of equality of persons and led by men such as the minister Martin Luther King Jr. On the bad side, it was extremism that used racism as an excuse for thrashing the innocent populous and redistributing wealth according to communism, led by men like Malcolm X. As the 1960s and '70s faded, the issues of racism would become dormant yet remain in the minds of Americans for the next several years.

Racism took great root in the 1920s along with the advent of eugenics. Many theorists began to justify racial and ethnic eradication via eugenics. The theory of eugenics would become unpopular when Europe found itself at war with Germany, who was trying out the theory in practice. The horrors of the holocaust scared the world from trying out eugenics. Everything about Germany nazism, that had embraced eugenics, became associated with evil.

The Rise of National Socialism

In the United States in the 19th century, industry began to boom. But the weaknesses of laissez-faire capitalism became gradually more apparent. Crafty businessmen had manipulated the capitalistic system to amass enormous fortunes while depriving common workers of their just pay. Employees became justifiably jealous, organized unions, and held strikes to demand more pay and better working

conditions. In favor of capitalism, the Republican party leaned towards supporting the big businesses, though not completely. The Democratic party, eager for some leverage in politics since losing the Civil War, sided with the common worker. From then on, labor unions have generally supported the Democratic party.

To make matters worse, in the 1920s, Satan introduced to the world the concept of credit – buy now, pay later. Unfamiliar with how to handle credit, the economy built a bubble that finally burst in October of 1929. The Republicans, who were currently in power and having supported this new economy, took the full brunt of the blame. Since then, the Republican party has always sought to repay Americans for the disaster of the stock market crash and the Great Depression. The stock market crash gave the true Republican party its death blow. For roughly the next 50 years, the Republicans (with the brief exception of Eisenhower) would remain out of office. To regain power, the party resorted to picking up the crumbs of the Democratic party and morphed by adopting its platform. Meanwhile, true Republicans after the 1980s went on to form the Libertarian party.

The Great Depression opened the doors for a new political system, one that promised to solve the problems of the common worker without eradicating capitalism: socialism. Through socialism, workers were given the opportunity for employment through the government. In America, this was via the Works Projects Administration. This approach proved to be highly successful in the eyes of the public, who began to see the necessary paycheck they needed each week. Thereafter, Democrats were elected again and again, their popularity ever sustained. Socialism on its own may eventually fail in economics, but the economic failure was glossed over by the military spending needed for World War 2 and the Cold War.

The Great Depression hit Europe, and with it, Germany. Having to pay recompense for the damages of World War 1 and still holding the bitterness of having lost, the Germans felt very pro-German and anti-European. Inside of Germany, the Jews controlled many of the banks, business, and political positions. The Germans thus had enemies outside, and perceived enemies within. Though the kaiser was gone, the atmosphere was ripe for masculinism. Consequently, when Karl Marx offered his ideas of communism (grounded in feminism), he was promptly exiled to Russia. Adolf Hitler, on the other hand, steadily rose to power. Hitler himself was a political radical, so his first attempts at power were too extreme. But he recognized that his sentiments were felt by the vast majority of other Germans, and he realized it would be easier to seize control through the normal political channels if only he were to appeal to this German spirit, the spirit of masculinism. Adolf Hitler was not exceptional. Had he been killed before rising to power, another man like him would have readily taken his place.

The World Turns Cold

The masculinism in Germany reached a high point by 1939. Germany acquired all of the elements of a masculinism, including ethnic cleansing (the killing of the Jews), racism (belief in the supremacy of the Arian race), tribalism (against the French and British), and secret police (the Gestapo, or SS). But to the world, the most surprising move of Germany was its sudden assault against Russia. Why would Germany attack Russia when Russia had pulled out of World War 1. It seemed the attack was

unprovoked. But to German masculinism, Russian feminism diametrically opposed. Russia of that era was the ideological enemy of Germany.

Meanwhile, as the rest of Europe and America were falling in love with national socialism, they found the necessary enemies for their own masculinism: Germany, Italy, and Japan.

The war involved masculinism versus masculinism. The Americans, British, and Germans all used the media and propaganda films to support their agenda.

At the end of the war, America found itself victorious and in the driver's seat. Not wanting to repeat the mistakes that led to World War 2, Americans began rebuilding Germany to build American repertoire. The plan worked, but at the same time, America gained all new enemy, its former ally, Soviet Russia. In defeating Germany, the USA stepped into its shoes. America would represent masculinism, and Russia, feminism. Unable to compete with America in military might and economic power, Russia went broke fighting the Cold War. But Russia proved to be the craftier of the two. Russia understood better than America the underlying social mechanics that were already at work and had deeply penetrated American society, not with armies nor spies, but with propaganda.

Because of American masculinism, communism had been an enemy of the United States since its inception. J. Edgar Hoover led the FBI in stomping out communism in the 1920s. The anti-communist mentality prevailed in both society and government up until the fall of the Soviet Union. Ironically, the fall of the USSR marked the end of the era of strong national socialism in the USA. The wheels of change had already begun.

America Changes

The testament to how popular national socialism was in American can be seen in none other than John F. Kennedy. He was a firm, assertive man who represented the strong arm and fighting spirit of the American people. In spite of repeated rocket failures, he spoke of America's determination: "We will go to the moon and do these other things not because they are easy but because they are hard." He was one of the most popular presidents in American history. At the height of his power, he fell victim to a bullet.

No one knows quite the reason for the shooting, but in any case, it proved to be the beginning of the fall of the Democratic party. Never anticipating a vice-president to become president, the Democrats often picked a weak support man as vice president. For F.D.R., this was Harry Truman. Truman's lack of spine and Eisenhower's military prowess cost the Democrats an election, but power returned to the Democratic party shortly thereafter. Then when Kennedy died, Lyndon B. Johnson stepped up to the job.

Like Truman, Johnson was a weak vice president. He held to the Democratic platform with his idea of the Great Society. However, in his lap was dropped a communist problem: the conflict in Vietnam. The Korean conflict was handled smoothly by his predecessor, but Johnson didn't want to handle the war, so he left it to the Kennedy advisor Robert Macnamara. Macnamara escalated the war, but unlike previous wars, this one proved immensely unpopular. The youths were rebelling, and the face of the Democratic party was changes. Johnson, aware of the division occurring within his party and unable to bring

resolution to the war, announced he would not run again.

Johnson's resignation marked the end of the national socialist era under Democratic party power. After Johnson, the party would undergo internal changes.

The changes had been in the makings for some time. Communism had spread through the schools, having been an ideology naturally supported by certain personality types. The advancement of society and technology made education an requirement, and through the education system, youths grew up with communist, feminist, progressive, and liberal ideas.

At the same time, unbeknown to the Democratic party, the mainstream media had turned communist. In World War 2, the mainstream media had supported the Democratic party with war films and footage depicting the positives of the war. But by the Vietnam War, the communists in the media sought to influence public opinion against the war by showing raw, uncut footage. The gruesome war turned out to be sickening.

The communists sought to change the democratic party from the inside, hoping that the socialism would be easily transformed into communism. They were wrong. Instead, it split the party into two factions: the conservatives, who wanted to maintain the national socialism, and the progressives, who wanted to continue the advance towards communism.

Feeling betrayed by their party, the conservatives jumped ship and joined the Republican party, who had gradually changed to adopting masculinism. The Republicans were elated, but botched their opportunity with the Watergate Scandal. The newsmedia, vengeful that the Democratic party had lost power, jumped on the opportunity to criticize the Republicans but in so doing, lost credibility and American trust. Gerald Ford further botched the opportunity by pardoning Nixon, so the Americans elected the mediocre Jimmy Carter, a transition president as the party evolved. Then the majority of Americans found in the Republicans a new hero who stood for their old ideals of masculinism yet embodied a new spirit of globalism that appeased people on both sides: Ronald Reagan.

After the election of Nixon, the communists resorted to feminine tactics, rebuilding their power by gathering women and minorities, including blacks, hispanics, gays, hippies, and anyone else with a suppressed voice. At the same time, as one voice, they would oppose the majority that had deserted them: the conservatives, who were white anglo-saxon Protestants. Thereafter, American political battles would be a conflict between white anglo-saxon Protestants and liberals.

Seeds of The Revolution

Swayed by mantras of “make love, not war” and peace signs, the Baby Boomer generation found themselves at odds with the previous generation who had long viewed war from a patriotic perspective. The Baby Boomers were sick of their parents' masculinism. Their mothers had gone from doing the work of men in the factories for the war effort back to being submissive wives in the household, justified by Christian morality. They had heard the cries of injustice by Martin Luther King Jr. in response to racism also justified by Protestant Christianity. They had seen the footage of the Vietnam War. And they had been taught that the way of the future was inclusiveness, globalism, progressivism,

the way of harmony that brought “peace”, and liberalism enabling free “love” (unbridled sex). Progressivism sounded like utopia, so they bought into the lie.

However, in order to get employment and join the flow of American society, Baby Boomers were forced to bury their convictions... until they rose to power.

Thus, after Ronald Reagan, America entered an era of mediocre politics in which both parties tried to pander to both conservatives and progressives in order to win a slim majority. The Republicans were happy just to ride the tails of their successful return to power, and the Democrats were fell under a their own spell as they tried to find their identity. For 20 years, the office of the President of the United States became a joke. Everyone was waiting for a change, and considering their history, it should have been no surprise that the party to do it would be the Democratic.

Feminism

Feminism began its rise at the dawn of the 20th century at the same time its ideological cousin, communism, was being introduced. The seeds of feminism had been laid in the preceding century. Prior to the industrial revolution, both men and women worked in the home, living an agrigarian lifestyle. The industrial revolution changed the male occupation, moving him outside the home. Women eventually followed, but unlike men, they had little voice as to how they were treated in the workplace and no voice politically. Discontent, they demanded the right to vote and gained suffrage by 1920. It was a landmark event. Thereafter, democratic politics would increasingly divide between masculine and feminine interests.

For most of the 20th century, women were resigned to living in a masculine world. Women took on male work in factories when their husbands, sons, and friends went to war.

But then at the end of World War 2, society began to reshape and men started to move up in the world thanks to a new business model: the corporation. Corporations offered men jobs that allowed them to rise from bottle sorter to middle manager all the way up to chief executive officer. The potential for male status appeared to have improved. Men were going places, traveling the world, fulfilling their dreams. Women became increasingly jealous. Satan uses jealousy to stir up trouble in society.

The female empowerment mentality – once used in World War 2 to encourage women in war plants – became the basis for supporting women in pursuing their dreams by the 1990s. But women had and have different dreams. Women who preferred traditional roles capitalized on society's natural support and become sacral feminists. Women who were jealous of male power, influence, and occupational opportunity became whig feminists.

Both forms of feminism would work subtly, gradually gaining ground over the course of the 1990s and 2000s until their influence in politics, law, society, education, and industry was firmly rooted.

By the 2010s, female dominance in society was extremely obvious and inspired jealousy in the opposite direction, from men. Ironically, the platform of the liberal party that had supported female empowerment turned against women as it treated “transgender” and “switch sex” minorities as first

class, who now infringe on the areas for women (such as female-only sports) and steal their benefits.

Corporations loved female employees, partly because their management was Boomer and they wanted “equality”, but also because women negotiate for cheaper pay than men and dilute the employee candidate market, forcing people to negotiate for lower salaries.

The Lines Are Drawn

For the sake of stomping out the injustices of masculinism – a noble cause – Satan convinced progressive Baby Boomers they needed to be just as extremist in the opposite direction, hoping that they could eradicate the problems completely. This involved punishing their children for the sins of their fathers. Thus, the progressive Baby Boomers aimed at varying degrees of suppressing or punishing masculinism and training the next generation in feminist ideology. The initial attempts were subtle, giving only as much as society was willing to chew and swallow.

The twenty years covering President Bush to Bush was a waiting game. The progressive Boomers need to wait for the older generations to fall out of power so that Baby Boomers could finally make the changes they wanted. They also needed to wait for the mainstream media to regain traction and integrity and start normalizing the narrative of progressivism and feminism.

Having just finished the Cold War, society of the 1990s was not the least bit ready to handle communist-favoring journalism. Ronald Reagan softened America by making trade deals with communist China. His globalism cost Americans jobs, making conservatives bitter, but pleasing the globalist progressives.

By the late 2000s, people were growing tired of lackadaisical politics. Political parties had been resorting to throw-away candidates whenever there was an incumbent, banking on losing. The game of politics had been only about winning, not about stance. All of that changed with the 2008 election.

The Democratic party had finally found a hard-line stance and a candidate for whom the Republicans had no answer. The candidate was Barack Obama, and for once, he stood solely on one side of the political spectrum. His mantras of “Hope” and “Change” promised to revitalize politics and make the office of presidency serve the interests of its constituents. For once, the progressives had someone to root for.

The conservatives had been casual in their acceptance of the Republican platform. The platform was defined more in economics than morality, and most conservatives had come to agree with it after being convinced of the failures of socialism. Once Obama ran the White House, conservatives were forced to reprioritize how they stood from a moral perspective because that was the ground upon which they were now being challenged.

By the 2016 election, conservatives were desperate for their own source of hope and willing to vote him in no matter what the cost. Their prime candidate came as a surprise, a knight of national socialism that seemed to have sprung up from nowhere and mysteriously garnered nationwide support, Donald Trump. But looking at history, Trump was not surprise. He was a product of the sleeping dragon.

Alt Right

In the wake of Obama's liberalism, conservatism proved to be too weak. Many people on the right recognized that conservatism had increasingly ceded ground to liberals. Holding what initially seemed like many points of view, a movement gathered to oppose liberalism and communism. That movement was the "Alt Right" ("Alternative Right"). The Alt Right was composed of far-right wingers, far right conspiracy theorists, and neo-Nazis. Technically, the Alt Right was nothing new. It was the sleeping dragon of national socialism that was embedded in the conservative mentality and had fallen dormant after the Democratic party divided in the 1960s.

Alt Right has all of the makings of masculinism. Alt Right adherents believe in agrarian lifestyles that support male style society, resent women's suffrage and wish it were taken off the books, embrace "political incorrectness", and are hard-line anti-feminist.

Like nazism in Germany, Alt Right officially appears to be a small collection of radicals, but the sentiment is shared by a huge portion of the population. Conservatives, struggling to come to grips with their political identity, found renewal in the Alt Right candidate Donald Trump.

When Donald Trump ran for President of the US, he modeled the perfect alt right, neo-Nazi. His nationalistic mentality screamed out of his slogan "Make America Great Again". He was an assertive, pro-military, pro-capitalist leader whom the communist media correctly recognized as being 21st century incarnation of Adolf Hitler. Trump's manner of speech was clearly marked by a mentality of masculinism: beligerent, offensive to many women, and loaded with propaganda.

The only difference between the Alt Right platform and Donald Trump's own was that of Jewish policy.

Like the nazism of Germany, the Alt Right views the Jews as enemies of the white male. There are a number of reasons for this. For one, the Jews – be it from God's blessing or talent or both – manage to into positions of power, influence, and wealth. They are in politics, media (especially Hollywood), and banking. Most importantly, they control the mainstream media that is pushing the narratives of feminism and communism that are crushing men. Just as in the days of Nazi Germany, the Jews – particularly the secular Jews – seem to be begging for another holocaust.

Alt Right is no accident. The communists are more aware than ever that their enemy is nazism. Consequently, they are doing everything to silence the Alt Right movement and anyone on the far right, thereby making Alt Right adherents even more angry. Communist extremism inspired the Alt Right and is fanning its flame.

Other Areas

There are a number of other areas that could be discussed. Topics include the gradual rise of divorce, which began in the 1960s and steadily rose to become normal as feminism rose. There's also the rise of homosexuality, which has gained traction as a vocal minority and is thus naturally supported by the progressive platform. Then there's the hard-core video game community, which has become anti-feminist in response to "social justice workers" trying to fight gender stereotyping prevalent in games.

The 2020 Election

2020 was a critical year for political activism for both parties. Many in the software industry naturally lean towards progressivism according to their (MBTI) personality types. The internet boom gave them immense power. Seeing the rise of Alt Right, progressive knew the time to start quenching those voices was the 2020 election year, otherwise they believed they would see a rise in nazism/fascism that would reset their progress towards their communist goals.

The software industry is full of people afraid of strong government. Thus naturally, they are afraid of the rise of fascism. But ironically, in fighting against fascism, they are promoting strong government of the opposite kind without realizing it.

The entire progressive party is united only in their opposition of fascism. Using fear as a tactic (a communist tactic) in the presidential election, Biden sought to persuade people that government under Trump was dangerous. However, many cracks are beginning to appear in the Democratic party. For example, numerous liberals have had to rethink their support for sex-changes and transgenderism and drugs as the long term effects of these things has negatively impacted their own families.

All the pieces of the puzzle have come together for progressive. Troubled by their own division (and nearing their deathbed for Boomers) yet united in their platform by Obama and made powerful by their control of technology, progressives know the time to act is now.

Seeing the popularity of Obama, especially using the media narrative that gave him a popular image, made progressives believe American was ready for the communist's true colors: feminism. It ran Hillary Clinton for president in 2016. Her defeat stunned the party, not only because it proved America didn't want her (and therefore feminism) but because she lost to the very man who represented their antithesis, the Alt Right. Eager to try again, the progressives tried a trojan horse: Joe Biden, a white Boomer who appeared to be as the American people wanted but puppet for the party and cover for allowing the woman Camela Harris to be in control.

The 2016 election revealed Americans were polarized. They wanted socialism led by a white male, not communism led by a white female. Bernie Sanders, a far-left candidate, was equally if not more popular than Hillary Clinton. The progressives in the Democratic party stole the primary election from Sanders and gave it to Clinton. This infuriated Sanders' supporters, who turned and voted for Trump. This revealed a clear divide within the Democratic party that isn't finished breaking apart.

The four years that follow will be an indicator of stability within the progressive party. The truth is, the very platform upon which it stands breeds division. In trying to unify everyone, the communist party has made people increasingly aware of their own disagreements with everyone else in the party. The party cannot stand on its own; it needs to be in power to force conformity to its hypocritical and self-contradictory platform. "If a house is divided against itself, that house shall not be able to stand." (Mk 3:25)

Outcomes

Political

If polarization is allowed to continue and worsen, there are three possible outcomes, which could apply to the United States or any other Western nation going through this combat between progressive and national socialist, such as Britain or France.

In the first scenario, the communists win by a gradual transition of government power into their hands. The democratic element to the government is either removed or reserved for people with special status and party membership, thus keeping dissenters from having a voice in how they are rule. Progressives have realized that money can be printed endlessly, so they believe the national debt can also rise endlessly. This will only work for so long. Eventually, countries will stop accepting the credit and the government will have to force people to work. Welfare will be normal, and corporations will merely become a front for government-run businesses. In many, many respects, the nation will resemble Soviet Russia. The church will be persecuted and shrink, but its members will become stronger in their faith and more devoted to the cause.

In the second scenario, the nazis win by re-election. To support their cause, they would need to impose government control over the internet and turn the legal battle against communist senators and representatives. Unfortunately, the nazis meet a fork in the road. Either they completely seize power to form a dictatorship or, out of love of freedom, attempt to preserve democracy and watch it crumble into ruin at the hands of the communists.

In the third scenario, there is civil war. It could happen one of two ways. In the first case, the nation will divide into two separate nations, one national socialist and the other communist. But a peaceful coexistence isn't possible because the two are diametrically opposed. Moreover, the communists want full control of all people in the original nation, so they would never allow state secession. In the second case, the power grab by the communists sparks backlash that becomes violent. Forces start off sporadic and separated but gradually gather under certain competent leaders. A race begins to kill off the opposition's leader. The best case scenario for a rebellion (most likely, the fascists) is that the military itself becomes divided over which side to support. The best case scenario for the party in power is that the military sides with them, which seems to be the most likely.

The outcome of the civil war will be a totalitarian regime because only such a regime is strong enough to band people together for the cause of war and hold them together once the war is finished.

In all three cases, there is extreme loss of human life and a high probability of communism. Communism will inevitably fall due to lack of money, and when it does, either a stronger national socialism will emerge or a dictatorship will arise out of the ensuing anarchy. Communist nations like China and North Korea have only lasted thanks to trade with other nations. Once the other nations themselves turn communist, no nation will be around to sustain the giants and pay for their militaries.

Social

Immorality causes conflicting interest. Feminists gradually find themselves at odds with minorities who are destroying the unity, life benefits, and families women want to have. Despite progressivism fighting “for” feminism and the mentalities being similar, remaining with progressivism is untenable for the feminist. The mentality of tolerance that built unity turns against women by becoming a mentality of tolerating abuse, harm, injustices, and evils – the very things women tried to fight.

In a communist victory, people will have no choice but to accept the evils they come to them. However, as they experience the evils first-hand, they will resent having supported it. Then when communism falls, the nation as a whole will emerge

Example of Russia

After the fall of the Soviet Union, Russia emerged as Eastern Orthodox and rejected feminism outright. To the world, it seems a surprise that Russia wouldn't value human liberties and “gay rights”. It is even more surprising that Russia would be not only content but very pleased with a strong national socialist government, of course, led by a very crafty and determined man, Vladimir Putin. Putin wants to regain the former influence of the Soviet Union (by annexing nearby nations and land), but it is a mistake to believe he is interested in returning to communism. Russia now embraces the more stable extreme of masculinism. Unlike America, it intends to never make the mistake of allowing communists and dissenters to rise to power, hence there should be no surprise when elections are rigged and people don't care.

Education and Technology

Education and technology are, from a grand perspective, directly tied with human lifespan. The better technology we have, the longer people live. As generations pass, people have become increasingly dependent on technology for living. If it were to quickly stop working, people would not have the know-how to sustain themselves, and most of humanity would die off. That outcome seems increasingly more likely. To sustain technology, education is needed. Education is steadily getting worse in the modern world.

There is a direct correlation with education quality as a whole (all classes and subjects) and the truth that it teaches. There appears to be a correlation between the teaching of lies and immorality in one subject (such as “sex education”) and the decline in the quality of student understanding in another (such as math), but this correlation appears not because there is one but because lies congregate with other lies. Thus, if students are failing to understand, it is because lies and misunderstandings *in general* are becoming more prevalent in society. Interestingly enough, to make matters worse, Satan has given people the strange idea that math itself can be made politically correct and based on subjective relativism, thereby making math meaningless and no longer universal.

The decline in education and technology will continue under any totalitarian regime. There will always be a select few geniuses, but the quality of their ideas will be less than optimal.

What We Can Do

Waiting It Out

If we decide sitting around and doing nothing is the best solution, then brace yourself for a civil war. More than strong government, Satan wants war because everyone is a target and thousands if not millions of people die. Willingness to submit to a strong government does not guarantee that one will rise to power peacefully.

One option is to flee to another nation, but where would you go?

On the bright side, both civil war and totalitarian government provide ample opportunities for Christians to practice acts of charity in secret (albeit at the risk of life and limb) and store up treasure in heaven.

Setting Things Right

To prevent disaster in any nation of extreme polarity, there are a few things that need to be done. Namely, the reverse of everything Satan is doing.

1. Argue against extremism and for *balance*.
2. Build the family according to God's intended design.
3. Establish a monarchy.

For (1): Combating extremism requires controlling the dialogue so that evil and lies can't have a say. This means going against the precious right of freedom of speech. Only one voice should be allowed, that of the truth. Moreover, the current state of polarization means open dialogue will be too toxic to make progress.

Combating extremism requires information people of the truth, and the truth is history. A good understanding of history and a belief in what it teaches is the key to getting people to avoid repeating the mistakes of the past.

Most importantly, combating extremism must be done by fighting for the *good* interests of both sides.

For (2): To build a good family requires societal support for the family. It means fighting for discipline in the family while still accounting for abuse. Most importantly, the separation of church and state should carry through to the separation of the institution of marriage and the state. Rather than having marriage licenses and divorces, the state should play no part in marriage at all. The Catholic Church doesn't allow divorce, but Protestantism is built on a divorce: its own from Catholicism. Protestantism will have to die, and in America, it is doing just that.

For (3): Establishing a monarchy can only be done once the nation knows what a happy, functional family looks like. Otherwise, the royal family will be just as broken as every other family in society.